# Incidence bounds in positive characteristic via valuations and distality Extended Edition!!

# Martin Bays

## 27.05.2021

This talk is based on joint work with Jean-François Martin.

## Contents

1	Background         .1 Incidence bounds in characteristic zero         .2 Incidence bounds in positive characteristic         .2 Discrete	1
	.3 Distality	1
2	Che result         .1 Statements	
3	Proof of Theorem 2.1         .1 Compressing balls         .2 Compressing cheeses         .3 Collapsing USHDs	1
<b>4</b>	lekes-Szabó consequences	1

## 1 Background

#### 1.1 Incidence bounds in characteristic zero

• Fact (Szemerédi-Trotter '83) There exists  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  such that, given N points and N lines in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the number of incidences is bounded as

$$|\{(p,l): p \in l\}| \le C(N^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{6}}).$$

• This has been generalised in various ways. In particular:

**Fact (Elekes-Szabó '12)** If  $(C_b)_{b\in B}$  is an algebraic family of distinct irreducible plane curves over a field K of characteristic 0, there are  $C, \epsilon > 0$  such that given N points in  $K^2$  and N curves in the family,

$$|\{(a,b): a \in C_b\}| \le C(N^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}).$$

- Hrushovski: this indicates a certain *modularity* of the interaction between (pseudo)finite sets and field structure.
- In particular, abelian groups are the only source of relations on which finite sets "maximally accumulate":

Fact (Elekes-Szabó '12 (m = 3), Raz-Sharir-de Zeeuw '18 (m = 4), B-Breuillard '20) Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$  be an irreducible affine variety over a field K of characteristic 0. Exactly one of the following holds:

(i)  $\exists C, \epsilon > 0. \ \forall X_1, \dots, X_m \subseteq_{\text{fin}} K.$ 

 $|V(K) \cap (X_1 \times \ldots \times X_m)| \le C \max(|X_i|)^{\dim V - \epsilon};$ 

(ii) OR: up to finite correspondences on co-ordinates and taking products,
 V is a subgroup of a power of a 1-dimensional algebraic group.

#### 1.2 Incidence bounds in positive characteristic

• In positive characteristic, these bounds utterly fail:

**Remark** Let  $K := \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ . For any algebraic set  $V \subseteq K^n$ , there is r > 0 such that for arbitrarily large n,

$$|V(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})| \ge r(p^n)^{\dim V}$$

(This follows from the Lang-Weil estimates.)

• However, Hrushovski conjectures that the Zilber trichotomy applies: infinite pseudofinite fields should be the only obstruction to modularity. (Above it is  $\prod_{n \to \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \leq K^{\mathcal{U}}$ .)

(In the case of the sum-product theorem, this is true, i.e. failures of sum-product bounds are due to finite subfields (Bourgain-Katz-Tao, Tao-Vu, Tao, Hrushovski, Wagner).)

• As an extreme case, this conjecture suggests that for K with **finite** algebraic part  $K \cap \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ , e.g.  $K = \mathbb{F}_p(t)$ , the characteristic 0 results should go through.

## 1.3 Distality

• **Definition** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure.

- Let  $\phi(x; y)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$  formula, let  $A, B \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ .

An  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\zeta_{\phi}(x; z)$  is a **uniform strong honest definition (USHD)** for  $\phi$  on A over B if for any  $a \in A^x$  and finite subset  $B_0 \subseteq_{\text{fin}} B$  with  $|B_0| \ge 2$ , there is  $d \in B_0^z$  such that  $tp(a/B_0) \supseteq \zeta_{\phi}(x, d) \models tp_{\phi}(a/B_0)$ 

$$\operatorname{tp}(a/B_0) \ni \zeta_{\phi}(x,d) \vdash \operatorname{tp}_{\phi}(a/B_0)$$

(where  $\operatorname{tp}_{\phi}(a/B_0) := \{\phi(x,b)^{\epsilon} \in \operatorname{tp}(a/B_0) : b \in B_0^y\}$ ). - If  $A = \mathcal{M}$ , we omit "on A".

- $-B \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is distal in  $\mathcal{M}$  if every  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\phi(x; y)$  has a USHD on B over B.
- Reduction to one variable:

**Fact**  $B \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is distal in  $\mathcal{M}$  iff any  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\phi(x; y)$  with |x| = 1 has a USHD on B over B.

**Proof idea.** Given  $a, b \in B$  and  $\phi(x, y; z)$  and  $B_0 \subseteq_{\text{fin}} B$ , say  $\operatorname{tp}(a/bB_0) \ni \zeta(x, b, c) \vdash \operatorname{tp}_{\phi(x;y,z)}(a/bB_0)$  with  $c \in B_0^w$ . Now say  $\operatorname{tp}(b/B_0) \ni \theta(y, c') \vdash \operatorname{tp}_{\forall x.(\zeta(x,y,w) \to \phi(x,y,z))}(b/B_0)$ . Then  $\operatorname{tp}(ab/B_0) \ni \zeta(x, y, c) \land \theta(y, c') \vdash \operatorname{tp}_{\phi(x,y;z)}^+(ab/B_0)$ . Now repeat with  $\neg \phi$ . Uniformity follows through.

- Example If  $B = (b_i)_i \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is a  $\emptyset$ -indiscernible sequence, and there is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\theta_{\leq}$  with  $\mathcal{M} \models \theta_{\leq}(b_i, b_j) \Leftrightarrow i < j$ , then B is distal in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- Fact (Chernikov-Simon)  $Th(\mathcal{M})$  is distal iff  $\mathcal{M}$  is distal in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- Distality implies incidence bounds:

Fact 1.1 (Chernikov-Galvin-Starchenko, Chernikov-Starchenko '20) Suppose  $B \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is distal in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\phi(x; y)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula. Suppose  $\phi(B; B)$  is  $K_{d,s}$ -free, i.e. there is no  $X_0 \times Y_0 \subseteq \phi(B; B)$  with  $|X_0| = d$  and  $|Y_0| = s$ . Let  $\zeta_{\phi}(x, z)$  be a USHD for  $\phi$  on B, and let t := |z|. Then there exists  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for  $X_0 \subseteq_{\text{fin}} B^x$  and  $Y_0 \subseteq_{\text{fin}} B^y$ ,

$$|\phi(X_0;Y_0)| \le C(|X_0|^{\frac{(t-1)d}{td-1}}|Y_0|^{\frac{td-t}{td-1}} + |X_0| + |Y_0|)$$

## 2 The result

## 2.1 Statements

Theorem 2.1 Let k be a valued field with finite residue field. Then k is distal in k<sup>alg</sup> ⊨ ACVF.
(Note: a positive characteristic valued field with finite residue field is not distal as a structure, nor even NIP (by Kaplan-Scanlon-Wagner).)

• So by Fact 1.1:

**Corollary** Let k be a valued field with finite residue field. Let  $E \subseteq k^n \times k^m$  be quantifier-free definable in  $\mathcal{L}_{div}(k)$ . Suppose E is  $K_{d,s}$ -free, where  $d, s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exist t, C > 0 such that for  $A_0 \subseteq_{fin} k^n$  and  $B_0 \subseteq_{fin} k^m$ ,

$$|E \cap (A_0 \times B_0)| \le C(|A_0|^{\frac{(t-1)d}{td-1}}|B_0|^{\frac{td-t}{td-1}} + |A_0| + |B_0|).$$

• In particular this yields a Szemerédi-Trotter-style result:

**Corollary** Suppose  $(C_b)_{b \in B \subseteq k^m}$  is an algebraic family of distinct irreducible plane curves over a field k which admits a valuation with finite residue field.

Then  $E := \{(a,b) : a \in C_b(k)\} \subseteq k^{2+m}$  is  $K_{2,s}$ -free for some  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ .

So there exist  $\epsilon_0, C > 0$  such that for  $A_0 \subseteq_{\text{fin}} k^2$  and  $B_0 \subseteq_{\text{fin}} k^m$ 

$$|E \cap (A_0 \times B_0)| \le C(|A_0|^{1-\epsilon_0}|B_0|^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon_0)} + |A_0| + |B_0|)$$

 $(\epsilon_0 := \frac{1}{2t-1} > 0)$ , or in symmetric form:

$$|E \cap (A_0 \times B_0)| \le C'(\max(|A_0|, |B_0|))^{\frac{3}{2} - \epsilon}$$

 $(\epsilon := \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} > 0, C' := 3C).$ 

## 2.2 Fields admitting finite residue field

**Example** The t-adic valuation on  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$  has residue field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Similarly, any finitely generated extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , i.e. any function field over a finite field, admits a valuation with finite residue field.

However:

**Proposition 2.2** For any prime p, there exists an algebraic extension  $L \geq \mathbb{F}_p(t)$  such that  $L \cap \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}} = \mathbb{F}_p$  but no valuation on L has finite residue field.

Such an L can be built by recursively adjoining Artin-Schreier roots which force Artin-Schreier extensions of the residue fields of valuations on previously built fields; using the Artin-Schreier version of Kummer theory, one can always do this without extending the algebraic part.

# 3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

- Let  $L \vDash ACVF$  and let  $k \subseteq L$  be a subfield with res(k) finite.
- We want to see that  $k \subseteq L$  is distal in L.

• By reduction to 1 variable, it suffices to see: any  $\phi(x, y)$  with |x| = 1 has a USHD over k.

## 3.1 Compressing balls

• By QE, for  $a \in k$ ,  $\phi(L, a)$  is a boolean combination of open and closed balls

$$v(x-a') > \alpha \text{ or } v(x-a') \ge \alpha$$

centred at points a' with  $\deg(k(a')/k)$  bounded, say dividing d.

• Let  $B_{k,d}$  be the set of balls (closed and open) centred at points of degree |d| field extensions of k within L.

Using the bounded size of the residue field of such extensions, we obtain:

**Lemma**  $x \in y$  has a USHD over  $B_{k,d}$ .

#### Proof.

- Let  $a \in L$  and  $B_0 \subseteq_{\text{fin}} B_{k,d}$ .
- Then  $x \in (b \setminus \bigcup_{i < s} b_i) \vdash \operatorname{tp}_{\in}(a/B_0)$  where
  - \* b is smallest in  $B_0$  such that  $a \in b$  (or b := L).
  - \*  $b_1, \ldots, b_s$  are the maximal proper subballs of b in  $B_0$ .
- Sufficient to uniformly bound s.
- By ultrametricity, joins of finitely many balls are joins of two. So we may assume  $B_0$  is closed under  $\lor$ 
  - (where  $b' \lor b'' :=$  smallest ball containing both).
- Assume s > 1.
- Say  $p_i \in b_i$  is of degree |d over k, and let  $\alpha \in v(L)$  be the radius of b.
- Now

$$i \mapsto \lambda_i := \operatorname{res}\left(\frac{p_i - p_1}{p_2 - p_1}\right)$$

is an injection of  $\{1, \ldots, s\}$  into res(L):

- \* If  $i \neq j$  then  $b_i \vee b_j = b$ , so  $v(p_i p_j) = \alpha$ .
- \* Now suppose  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ . Then  $\operatorname{res}(\frac{p_i p_j}{p_2 p_1}) = 0$ ,

so 
$$v(p_i - p_j) > v(p_2 - p_1) = \alpha$$
, so  $i = j$ .

$$-$$
 Say res $(k) = \mathbb{F}_{q}$ 

– Since each  $\lambda_i$  is in the residue field of an extension of k of degree  $|d^3$ , by the valuation inequality

$$\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{d^3}}.$$

$$-$$
 So  $s \le q^{d^3}$ .

- But this is not enough on its own. To show that  $\phi(x, y)$  has a USHD over k: given  $C \subseteq_{\text{fin}} k$  we have to determine  $\text{tp}_{\phi}(a/C)$  using only C as parameters – but the balls involved will generally not be defined over C!
- So we need to be more careful with the QE.

#### 3.2 Compressing cheeses

•  $\phi(L, a)$  has a unique-up-to-permutation "Swiss cheese decomposition" as a finite union of disjoint cheeses,

$$\phi(L,a) = \bigcup_{i} (b_i \setminus \bigcup_{j} b_{ij}),$$

where each cheese is a ball  $b_i$  minus a finite union of disjoint proper subballs  $b_{ij}$ , and no  $b_i$  is equal to any hole  $b_{i'j}$ .

• Moreover:

Fact (Uniform Swiss Cheese Decomposition) There are N and d depending only on  $\phi$  such that for all  $a \in L^y$ ,  $\phi(L, a)$  has Swiss cheese decomposition involving  $\leq N$  balls, where each ball contains a point in a degree |d| field extension of the subfield generated by a.

- Increasing N and allowing the empty ball, we can assume the form of the decomposition is constant, given by a Boolean term  $D(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ .
- Let  $X \subseteq B^N$  be the set defined by the inclusion relations required for  $D(b_1, \ldots, b_N)$  to be a Swiss cheese decomposition.
- So  $D: X \to [\text{codes for subsets}]$  is definable with boundedly finite fibres, and

$$D(X \cap (B_{k,d})^N) \supseteq \{ \ulcorner \phi(L,c) \urcorner : c \in k^y \}.$$

#### 3.3 Collapsing USHDs

• We conclude by a general elementary model theoretic lemma on USHDs:

**Lemma** Let f be definable with boundedly finite fibres. Let  $C \subseteq im(f)$ . If  $\psi(x, f(z))$  has a USHD over  $f^{-1}(C)$ , then  $\psi(x, y)$  has a USHD over C.

(Explicitly, to conclude:

- apply this Lemma to  $x \in D(\overline{z})$ , which has a USHD over  $B_{k,d}$  by ball compression;
- this yields that  $x \in w$  has a USHD over



$$\{ \ulcorner \phi(L,c) \urcorner : c \in k^y \},$$

- hence  $\phi(x, y)$  has a USHD over k.)

**Proof idea.** Given a and  $C_0 \subseteq_{\text{fin}} C$ , we have  $\zeta(x, \tilde{d}) \ni \operatorname{tp}(a/f^{-1}(C_0))$  implying all instances of  $\psi$  over  $C_0$ . Then find  $C_1 \subseteq C_0$  bounded by the fibre size such that if  $f(\tilde{d}') = f(\tilde{d})$  and  $\zeta(x, \tilde{d}')$  implies the instances over  $C_1$ , then it implies all. Then "exists such a  $\tilde{d}'$  over  $f(\tilde{d})$ " is a USHD.

• Remark Following the proof gives a bound on the exponent in the distal cell decompositions, hence in the incidence bound for |x| = 1, of  $t = 2(q^{d^3} + 1)$  where  $res(k) = \mathbb{F}_q$ .

For |x| > 1 a bound can in theory be computed, but it involves QE in ACVF (for the reduction to one variable).

For the Szemerédi-Trotter case  $\{((x, y), (a, b)) : y = ax + b\}$ , we get t = 4(q + 1), giving an exponent in the symmetric form of  $\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{16(q+1)-2}$ .

This uses linear QE (Weispfenning): if an  $\mathcal{L}_{div}$  qf-formula  $\psi(x, \overline{y}, \overline{z})$  is linear in  $x, \overline{y}$ , i.e. each polynomial has degree 1 in x and each  $y_i$ , then  $\exists x.\psi(x, \overline{y}, \overline{z})$  is equivalent modulo ACVF to a qf-formula linear in  $\overline{y}$ .

Question: in the Szemerédi-Trotter case with  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ , could there be an exponent which doesn't depend on p? Worst lower bound I know is  $\frac{4}{3}$ , with a similar "rectangular grid" argument as in the char 0 case:

$$\begin{aligned} |\{((x,y),(a,b)) \in (\mathbb{F}_p[t]_{$$

## 4 Elekes-Szabó consequences

As in the characteristic 0 case, these incidence bounds yield "modularity of coherence", and hence Elekes-Szabó bounds.

- Let  $k_0$  be a field admitting a valuation with finite residue field.
- For  $r \ge 1$ , let

$$k_r := \{a \in (k_0)^{\text{alg}} : \deg(k_0(a)/k_0) \le r\}$$

- From the proof for  $k_0$ , we get that each  $k_r$  is also distal in  $(k_0)^{\text{alg}} \models \text{ACVF}$ .
- This is sufficient for the arguments of one direction of the 1-dimensional case of the main result of B-Breuillard to go through:

**Theorem** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\omega$ . Let  $k' := ((k_0)^{\mathcal{U}})^{\text{alg}} \leq ((k_0)^{\text{alg}})^{\mathcal{U}} =: L$ , so  $k' = \bigcup_{r \in \omega} (k_r)^{\mathcal{U}}$ . Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{U}} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\delta(\prod_{i \to \mathcal{U}} X_i) := \operatorname{st} \log_{\xi} \lim_{i \to \mathcal{U}} |X_i| \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Equip L with the structure generated by countably many internal relations, including each  $(k_r)^{\mathcal{U}}$ , such that  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$  is continuous.

For 
$$\overline{a} \in L^{<\omega}$$
,

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}(\overline{a}) = \boldsymbol{\delta}(\operatorname{tp}(\overline{a})) = \inf_{\phi \in \operatorname{tp}(\overline{a})} \boldsymbol{\delta}(\phi(L))$$

 $P \subseteq L$  is coherent if  $\delta(\overline{a}) = \operatorname{trd}(\overline{a})$  for all  $\overline{a} \in P^{<\omega}$ .

Write  $\operatorname{acl}^0$  for field-theoretic algebraic closure.

Let  $P \subseteq k'$  be coherent, and let  $\operatorname{ccl}(P) := \{a \in \operatorname{acl}^0(P) : \delta(a) = \operatorname{trd}(a)\} \subseteq k'$ . Then  $\operatorname{ccl}(P)$  is coherent, and  $\operatorname{acl}^0|_{\operatorname{ccl}(P)}$  is a modular pregeometry.

**Fact (Evans-Hrushovski)** Let  $\Pi$  be a modular subgeometries of dimension  $3 \le n < \omega$  of the geometry of algebraic closure on an algebraically closed field L (of any characteristic) over an algebraically closed subfield  $C_0 \le L$ .

Then there exists a 1-dimensional algebraic group G over  $C_0$  and generic  $\overline{x} \in G^n$  over  $C_0$ such that  $\Pi$  embeds in the projective geometry  $\{\operatorname{acl}_{C_0}(\sum_i e_i x_i) : e_i \in \operatorname{End}(G), \ \overline{e} \neq 0\}.$ 

One deduces:

**Theorem** Let  $k_0$  be a field admitting a valuation with finite residue field. Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$  be an irreducible affine variety over  $k_0$ .

At least one of the following holds:

(i)  $\exists C, \epsilon > 0. \ \forall X_1, \dots, X_m \subseteq_{\text{fin}} k_0.$ 

 $|V(k_0) \cap (X_1 \times \ldots \times X_m)| \le C \max(|X_i|)^{\dim V - \epsilon};$ 

(ii) Up to finite correspondences on co-ordinates and taking products,V is a subgroup of a power of a 1-dimensional algebraic group.

**Remark 4.1** In characteristic 0 there is a higher dimensional ("coarse general position") version of this. That doesn't immediately go through, but only because it needs a positive characteristic version of higher dimensional Evans-Hrushovski, which remains to be proven. (The m = 3 case probably goes through directly, but I haven't checked.)

Getting a version with "exactly one" in place of "at least one" would also take some more work.