On spectral triples in quantum gravity Joint work with J. M. Grimstrup, R. Nest and M. Paschke

Johannes Aastrup

GK1493

2010

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

► The formulation of the standard model in noncommutative geometry

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Canonical gravity, Loop Quantum Gravity

► The formulation of the standard model in noncommutative geometry

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Canonical gravity, Loop Quantum Gravity

Aim:

 Find intersection of noncommutative geometry with quantum gravity (quantization + unification)

► The formulation of the standard model in noncommutative geometry

Canonical gravity, Loop Quantum Gravity

Aim:

 Find intersection of noncommutative geometry with quantum gravity (quantization + unification)

The construction:

- A spectral triple over a configuration space of connections.
- A noncommutative algebra of holonomy loops.

- ► The formulation of the standard model in noncommutative geometry
- Canonical gravity, Loop Quantum Gravity

Aim:

 Find intersection of noncommutative geometry with quantum gravity (quantization + unification)

The construction:

- A spectral triple over a configuration space of connections.
- A noncommutative algebra of holonomy loops.

Physical interpretation:

- The spectral triple encodes the information of the kinematical part of quantum gravity.
- ► The spectral triple has semi-classical states which gives the Dirac Hamiltonian in 3 + 1 dimension.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(Connes, Lott, Chamsedine, Marcolli, ...)

$$(C^{\infty}(M)\otimes \mathcal{B}_{F}, L^{2}(M, S)\otimes \mathcal{H}_{F}, D\otimes 1+\gamma_{5}\otimes D_{F})$$

where

- M 4-dimensional compact spin manifold
- S spin bundle
- \mathcal{B}_F finite dimensional algebra

 $\mathcal{H}_{\textit{F}}$ - finite dimensional Hilbert space, fermionic content of the standard model

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

D_F - certain matrix

Spectral action:

$$I = \langle \psi | \tilde{D} | \psi
angle + Tr\left(arphi \left(rac{ ilde{D}^2}{\Lambda^2}
ight)
ight)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

action of standard model coupled to gravity.

Spectral action:

$$I = \langle \psi | \tilde{D} | \psi
angle + Tr\left(arphi \left(rac{ ilde{D}^2}{\Lambda^2}
ight)
ight)$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

action of standard model coupled to gravity.

Main point

Formulation of the standard model as a single gravitational theory.

Spectral action:

$$I = \langle \psi | \tilde{D} | \psi
angle + Tr\left(\varphi \left(rac{ ilde{D}^2}{\Lambda^2}
ight)
ight)$$

action of standard model coupled to gravity.

Main point

Formulation of the standard model as a single gravitational theory. Essentially classical, no quantization.

Question:

How to formulate a quantization procedure within noncommutative geometry?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Question:

How to formulate a quantization procedure within noncommutative geometry?

Would involve quantum gravity.

Our aim:

To construct a model which combines noncommutative geometry with elements of quantum gravity.

Our aim:

To construct a model which combines noncommutative geometry with elements of quantum gravity.

Get inspiration/elements (actually rather a lot) from Loop Quantum Gravity.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Our aim:

To construct a model which combines noncommutative geometry with elements of quantum gravity.

Get inspiration/elements (actually rather a lot) from Loop Quantum Gravity.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Loop Quantum Gravity:

Quantization of gravity. No unification.



$$M = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

The new (Ashtekar) variables $A_j^i - SU(2)$ -connection on Σ . $E_j^i = |\det e|^{\frac{1}{2}} e_j^i - e_j^i$ orthonormal frame field.



$$M = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

The new (Ashtekar) variables $A_j^i - SU(2)$ -connection on Σ . $E_j^i = |\det e|^{\frac{1}{2}} e_j^i - e_j^i$ orthonormal frame field.

Poisson bracket

$$\{A_j^i(x), E_l^k(y)\} = \delta_l^i \delta_j^k \delta(x - y)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Poisson bracket

$$\{A_j^i(x), E_l^k(y)\} = \delta_l^i \delta_j^k \delta(x-y)$$

Constraints Gauss constraint

$$\partial_i E^i_a + \epsilon^c_{ab} A^b_i E^i_c = 0$$

Diffeomorphism constraint

$$E^j_a F^a_{ij} = 0$$

Hamilton constraint (Euclidian)

 $\epsilon_c^{ab} E_a^i E_b^j F_{ij}^c = 0$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Reformulation L loop on Σ .

 $h_L(\nabla) = \operatorname{Hol}(L, \nabla)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 ∇ - *SU*(2)-connection on Σ .

Reformulation L loop on Σ .

 $h_L(\nabla) = \operatorname{Hol}(L, \nabla)$

$$\nabla$$
 - $SU(2)$ -connection on Σ .

$$F_a^S(E) = \int_S \epsilon_{mnp} E_a^m dx^n dx^p$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

S - surface in Σ .



C Curve. Where $C = C_1 C_2$.

 $\{F_a^S(E), h_C(\nabla)\} = \pm h_{C_1}(\nabla)\tau_a h_{C_2}(\nabla)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 τ^a generator of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$.

Quantization strategy

 \mathcal{A} - space of SU(2) connections.



Quantization strategy

 \mathcal{A} - space of SU(2) connections. Construct $L^2(\mathcal{A})$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Quantization strategy

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Quantization strategy

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{A} & - & \text{space of } SU(2) \text{ connections.} \\ \text{Construct } L^2(\mathcal{A}). \\ \text{Realize } h_C \text{ as a multiplikation operator } \hat{h}_C \text{ on } L^2(\mathcal{A}). \\ \text{Construct } \hat{F}_a^S \text{ acting on } L^2(\mathcal{A}) \text{ satisfying} \end{array}$

$$[\hat{F}_a^S, \hat{h}_C] = \pm \hat{h}_{C_1} \tau^a \hat{h}_{C_2}$$

Quantization strategy

$$[\hat{F}_a^S, \hat{h}_C] = \pm \hat{h}_{C_1} \tau^a \hat{h}_{C_2}$$

Express constraints in terms of h_C , F_S^a and replace with \hat{F}_a^S and \hat{h}_C . Solve the quantum constraints to get the physical Hilbert space.

Our project part 2

 $h_L(\nabla) = \operatorname{Hol}(L, \nabla), \quad \nabla \in \mathcal{A}.$

Let $\ensuremath{\mathcal{B}}$ be the algebra generated by

 ${h_L}_L$ based in x_0

We want to construct a spectral triple on \mathcal{B} .



 Γ_0 lattice on M. Γ_n the *n*'th subdivision of Γ_0 . Identify

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_n} = G^{e(\Gamma_n)}$$

via

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_n} \ni \nabla \to (\mathit{Hol}(e_1, \nabla), \dots, \mathit{Hol}(e_{e(\Gamma_n)}, \nabla))_{e_1}$$

where $e(\Gamma_n)$ is the number of edges in Γ_n .



 Γ_0 lattice on M. Γ_n the *n*'th subdivision of Γ_0 . Identify

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_n} = G^{e(\Gamma_n)}$$

via

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_n} \ni \nabla \to (\mathit{Hol}(e_1, \nabla), \dots, \mathit{Hol}(e_{e(\Gamma_n)}, \nabla)),$$

where $e(\Gamma_n)$ is the number of edges in Γ_n . When *n* tends to ∞ , \mathcal{A}_{Γ_n} will be a good approximation to \mathcal{A} .

There are maps

$$P_{n+1,n}:\mathcal{A}_{n+1}\to\mathcal{A}_n.$$

Define

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{s} = \lim_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Topology on $\mathcal{A}_n = G^{e(\Gamma_n)}$ induces topology on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^s$.

There are maps

$$P_{n+1,n}:\mathcal{A}_{n+1}\to\mathcal{A}_n.$$

Define

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{s} = \lim_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}$$

Topology on $\mathcal{A}_n = G^{e(\Gamma_n)}$ induces topology on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^s$. It is not hard to see

 $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{s}$ densely

Define

$$L^2(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^s) = \lim_n L^2(\mathcal{A}_n)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The Ashtekar-Lewandowski case

M real analytic.

 $S_a = \{ \text{ finite graphs with piecewise analytic edges } \}$

 S_a is a directed set. Define

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} = G^{e(\Gamma)},$$

where $\Gamma \in S_a$, and define

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{a} = \lim_{\Gamma \in S_{a}} \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$$
$$L^{2}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{a}) = \lim_{\Gamma \in S_{a}} L^{2}(\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma})$$

 $L^2(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^a)$ is not separable.

Comparision

We have the following diagram



where $Diff_a(M)$ is the group of piecewise analytic diffeomorphisms, and $Diff_s(M)$ is the group of diffeomorphisms preserving the infinite lattice.

Comparision

We have the following diagram



where $Diff_a(M)$ is the group of piecewise analytic diffeomorphisms, and $Diff_s(M)$ is the group of diffeomorphisms preserving the infinite lattice. We would therefore like to see $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^s$ as $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^a$ subjected to a partial gauge fixing of the diffeomorphism group.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日

The algebra

 \mathcal{B}^s is the algebra generated by $\{h_L\}$, where *L* is a loop in $\cup \Gamma_n$ based in x_0 . \mathcal{B}^s admits a representation on $L^2(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^s) \otimes M_N$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Idea

 $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}=G^n$ is a classical geometry and therefore has a Dirac operator. We take one acting on

$$L^2(G^n, Cl(T^*G^n)) \otimes M_N.$$

The maps $P_{i+1,i}: G^{n_{i+1}} \rightarrow G^{n_i}$ induces

$$P_{i+1,i}^*: L^2(G^{n_i}, CL(T^*G^{n_i})) \to L^2(G^{n_{i+1}}, CL(T^*G^{n_{i+1}}))$$

To ensure that $\{D_i\}$ descends to an operator D on

$$\lim_{i} L^{2}(G^{n_{i}}, CL(T^{*}G^{n_{i}})) \otimes M_{N} = L^{2}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{s}, CL(T^{*}\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{s})) \otimes M_{N},$$

we need to ensure

$$P_{i+1,i}^* \circ D_i = D_{i+1}$$

Restrict for simplicity to the case of a single edge. Gives the projective system

$$G \leftarrow G^2 \leftarrow G^4 \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow G^{2^n} \leftarrow \cdots$$

with structure maps

$$P_{n+1,n}(g_1,\ldots,g_{2^{n+1}})=(g_1g_2,\ldots,g_{2^{n+1}-1}g_{2^{n+1}}).$$

Can be rewritten to a projective system with structure maps

$$P_{n+1,n}(g_1,\ldots,g_{2^{n+1}})=(g_1,\ldots,g_{2^n}).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Define

$$D_n = \sum_i a_i D_{0i}$$

where D_{0i} is a Dirac operator on the *i*'the copy of *G*. Take D_{0i} of the form

$$D_{0i}=\sum_k e_k\cdot d_{e_k},$$

where $\{e_k\}$ denotes a orthonormal basis in \mathfrak{g} and the corresponding left translated vectorfields.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Define

$$D_n = \sum_i a_i D_{0i}$$

where D_{0i} is a Dirac operator on the *i*'the copy of *G*. Take D_{0i} of the form

$$D_{0i}=\sum_k e_k\cdot d_{e_k},$$

where $\{e_k\}$ denotes a orthonormal basis in \mathfrak{g} and the corresponding left translated vectorfields.

The family $\{D_n\}$ is a consistent family of operator and hence descends to an operator D.

Semifiniteness

D does not have compact resolvent.

Definition

Let \mathcal{N} be a semifinite von Neumann algebra with a semifinite trace τ . Let \mathbb{K}_{τ} be the τ - compact operators. A semifinite spectral triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is a *-subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{N} , a representation of \mathcal{N} on the Hilbert space \mathcal{N} and an unbounded densely defined self adjoint operator D on \mathcal{H} affiliated with \mathcal{N} satisfying

- 1. $b(\lambda D)^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}_{\tau}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$..
- 2. [b, D] is densely defined and extends to a bounded operator.

Semifiniteness

Rewrite

$$L^{2}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{s}, CL(T^{*}\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{s})) \otimes M_{N} = (L^{2}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{s}) \otimes M_{N}) \otimes Cl(T^{*}_{id}\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{s}).$$

Let ${\mathcal N}$ be the weak closure of

$$\mathbb{B}(L^2(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^s)\otimes M_N)\otimes C,$$

where

$$C = Cl(T_{id}^*\overline{\mathcal{A}}^s) = \lim_n Cl(T_{id}^*\mathcal{A}_n).$$

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{N}}$ is semi finite.

Theorem

When $a_i \to \infty$ the triple $(\mathcal{B}^s, D, L^2(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^s, Cl(T^*\overline{\mathcal{A}}^s) \otimes M_n))$ is semi finite with respect to \mathcal{N}

Poisson structure



C Curve. Where $C = C_1 C_2$.

$$\{F_a^S(E),h_C(\nabla)\}=\pm h_{C_1}\tau_a h_{C_2}$$

 τ_a generator of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. In the quantization setting C_1 and C_2 corresponds copies of G. Hence we look at $L^2(G^2) \otimes M_N$ and

$$\hat{h}_{C_1C_2}(\xi)(g_1,g_2)=g_1g_2\xi(g_1,g_2).$$

With

$$\hat{F}^{S} = \mathcal{L}_{L_{g_{1}}\tau_{a}}$$

we have

$$[\hat{F}_{a}^{S}, \hat{h}_{C_{1}C_{2}}] = \hat{h}_{C_{1}}\tau_{a}\hat{h}_{C_{2}}.$$

Therefore D in a certain sense contains quantization, (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B)

Semi-classical states

Let $\psi(x)$ be a spinor field on Σ and let A(x) and E(x) be a SU(2)-connection and a triad field on Σ . We will now construct states that are localized around ψ , A, E to get a physical interpretation of D, our Dirac type operator.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Semi-classical states

Let $\psi(x)$ be a spinor field on Σ and let A(x) and E(x) be a SU(2)-connection and a triad field on Σ .

We will now construct states that are localized around ψ , A, E to get a physical interpretation of D, our Dirac type operator.

▶ On one edge ϵ . $\phi^t \in L^2(SU(2), M_2)$ coherent state Hall 1994 with

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{t\to 0} \langle \phi^t | f_{\epsilon} | \phi^t \rangle &= Hol(\epsilon, A) \\ &\lim_{t\to 0} \langle \phi^t | td_{e^3_{\epsilon}} | \phi^t \rangle &= i2^{-2n} E^1_a(v_2), \end{split}$$

where v_2 is the endpoint of ϵ and the 1 in E_a^1 is the direction of ϵ .

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Consider the state

$$\Psi(g) = (g\psi(v_2) + ie^a_{\epsilon}\sigma^a\psi(v_1))\phi^t_{\epsilon}(g).$$

A computation gives

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t \to 0} \langle \Psi | t D_{\epsilon} | \Psi \rangle &= a_n 2^{-2n} (-\bar{\psi}(v_1) \sigma^a E^1_a(\psi(v_1) - \psi(v_2)) \\ &+ (\bar{\psi}(v_2) - \bar{\psi}(v_1)) \sigma^a E^1_a \psi(v_1) \\ &+ \bar{\psi}(v_1) \{ 2^{-n} A_1, \sigma^a E^1_a \} \psi(v_1)) \end{split}$$

where we have used $g \sim 1 + 2^{-n}A_1$.

Consider the state

$$\Psi(g) = (g\psi(v_2) + ie^a_{\epsilon}\sigma^a\psi(v_1))\phi^t_{\epsilon}(g).$$

A computation gives

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t \to 0} \langle \Psi | t D_{\epsilon} | \Psi \rangle &= a_n 2^{-2n} (-\bar{\psi}(v_1) \sigma^a E^1_a(\psi(v_1) - \psi(v_2)) \\ &+ (\bar{\psi}(v_2) - \bar{\psi}(v_1)) \sigma^a E^1_a \psi(v_1) \\ &+ \bar{\psi}(v_1) \{ 2^{-n} A_1, \sigma^a E^1_a \} \psi(v_1)) \end{split}$$

where we have used $g \sim 1 + 2^{-n} A_1$. Would like

$$a_n 2^{-2n}(\psi(\mathbf{v}_1) - \psi(\mathbf{v}_2)) \rightarrow \partial_1 \psi(\mathbf{v}_1)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

when $n \to \infty$, hence $a_n = 2^{3n}$.

Consider the state

$$\Psi(g) = (g\psi(v_2) + ie^a_{\epsilon}\sigma^a\psi(v_1))\phi^t_{\epsilon}(g).$$

A computation gives

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t \to 0} \langle \Psi | t D_{\epsilon} | \Psi \rangle &= a_n 2^{-2n} (-\bar{\psi}(v_1) \sigma^a E^1_a(\psi(v_1) - \psi(v_2)) \\ &+ (\bar{\psi}(v_2) - \bar{\psi}(v_1)) \sigma^a E^1_a \psi(v_1) \\ &+ \bar{\psi}(v_1) \{ 2^{-n} A_1, \sigma^a E^1_a \} \psi(v_1)) \end{split}$$

where we have used $g \sim 1 + 2^{-n}A_1$. Would like

$$a_n 2^{-2n}(\psi(\mathbf{v}_1) - \psi(\mathbf{v}_2)) \rightarrow \partial_1 \psi(\mathbf{v}_1)$$

when $n \to \infty$, hence $a_n = 2^{3n}$. Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{t\to 0}\langle\Psi|tD_{\epsilon}|\Psi\rangle=\bar{\psi}(v_{1})(\sigma^{a}E^{1}_{a}\nabla_{1}+\nabla_{1}\sigma^{a}E^{1}_{a})\psi(v_{1}),$$

where we have used partial integration and $\nabla = d + A$. This is the expression for the Dirac operator in 3 dimension in the 1 direction.

It turns out that to do this for all edges is related to the choice of basepoint.

$$L_0$$
 - loop based in x_0 .
 p - path from x_0 to x_1 , $p = \{l_1, \ldots, l_n\}$.
 U_p - parallel transport along p .

$$h_{L_{x_1}} = U_p h_{L_{x_0}} U_p^*$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

It turns out that to do this for all edges is related to the choice of basepoint.

$$L_0$$
 - loop based in x_0 .
 p - path from x_0 to x_1 , $p = \{l_1, \ldots, l_n\}$.
 U_p - parallel transport along p .

$$h_{L_{x_1}} = U_p h_{L_{x_0}} U_p^*$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Lift
$$U_p$$
 to

$$\tilde{U}_p = \tilde{U}_1 \cdots \tilde{U}_n,$$

where

$$ilde{U}_i = \mathit{ie}^{\mathsf{a}}_i(g_i \otimes eta^{\mathsf{a}}_i),$$

where β_i^a are skew self-adjoint matrices satisfying

$$\sum_{a} |\beta_i^a|^2 = 1.$$

For $p_1 \neq p_2$,

$$\langle ilde{U}_{p_1} | ilde{U}_{p_2}
angle = 0$$

(Here we have to tensor the Hilbert space with an extra matrix factor.)

Let $\psi(v_i)$, $v_i \in \Gamma_n$ transform

 $\tilde{U}_{p_i}\psi(v_i),$

where p_i is a path from x_0 to v_i . We have

$$\begin{split} \langle \tilde{U}_{\rho_1}\psi(\mathbf{v}_1) + \tilde{U}_{\rho_2}\psi(\mathbf{v}_2)|h_{L_0}|\tilde{U}_{\rho_1}\psi(\mathbf{v}_1) + \tilde{U}_{\rho_2}\psi(\mathbf{v}_2)\rangle \\ &= \langle \psi(\mathbf{v}_1)|h_{L_1}|\psi(\mathbf{v}_1)\rangle + \langle \psi(\mathbf{v}_2)|h_{L_2}|\psi(\mathbf{v}_2)\rangle. \end{split}$$

 L_1 loop based in v_1 . L_2 loop based in v_2 .

To eliminate this choice of base points we sum over all of them

$$\Psi_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \tilde{U}_{p_i} \psi(v_i)$$

and define

$$\Psi_n^t = \Psi_n \prod_{e \in \Gamma_n} \phi_e^t$$

The expectation value of the Dirac operator

Set

$$\beta_i^a = N(v_i)\gamma^a + iN^a(v_i)\gamma^0,$$

where N, N^a are lapse and shift fields. A computation gives

$$\begin{split} \lim_{n\to\infty} \lim_{t\to 0} \langle \Psi_n^t | tD | \Psi_n^t \rangle \\ = \int_{\Sigma} \bar{\psi}(x) (\sqrt{g} e_a^m \nabla_m + \nabla_m \sqrt{g} e_a^m) (N(x) \gamma^a + iN^a(x) \gamma^0) \psi(x) dx \\ + \text{ lower order terms.} \end{split}$$

This expression resembles the Dirac hamiltonian in 3 + 1-dimension. Thus the semi-classical states can be interpreted as one fermion states in a background gravitational field with lapse N and shift N^m . Hence D can be interpretated as a quantization of the Dirac Hamiltonian.

$\mathsf{Outlook}/\mathsf{Problems}$

To summarize

Constructed triple over an algebra of holonomy loops

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

To summarize

- Constructed triple over an algebra of holonomy loops
- ► The triple contains a quantization of the Poisson bracket of gravity

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

To summarize

- Constructed triple over an algebra of holonomy loops
- ► The triple contains a quantization of the Poisson bracket of gravity

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The lattice involved in the construction is seen as a coordinate system.

To summarize

- Constructed triple over an algebra of holonomy loops
- ► The triple contains a quantization of the Poisson bracket of gravity
- The lattice involved in the construction is seen as a coordinate system.
- In a certain semi-classical limit the Dirac type operator becomes the Dirac Hamiltonian, and thus the semi-classical states can be interpreted as one particle fermion states. The semi classical states stem from the noncommutativity of the involved algebra.

To summarize

- Constructed triple over an algebra of holonomy loops
- ► The triple contains a quantization of the Poisson bracket of gravity
- The lattice involved in the construction is seen as a coordinate system.
- In a certain semi-classical limit the Dirac type operator becomes the Dirac Hamiltonian, and thus the semi-classical states can be interpreted as one particle fermion states. The semi classical states stem from the noncommutativity of the involved algebra.

Open problems

 Realizing the Hamilton constraint of gravity. This should be an expression closely related to D². We can construct a quantized Hamiltonian constraint (and volume and area operator) having the right expectation value on the semiclassical states.

To summarize

- Constructed triple over an algebra of holonomy loops
- ► The triple contains a quantization of the Poisson bracket of gravity
- The lattice involved in the construction is seen as a coordinate system.
- In a certain semi-classical limit the Dirac type operator becomes the Dirac Hamiltonian, and thus the semi-classical states can be interpreted as one particle fermion states. The semi classical states stem from the noncommutativity of the involved algebra.

Open problems

- Realizing the Hamilton constraint of gravity. This should be an expression closely related to D². We can construct a quantized Hamiltonian constraint (and volume and area operator) having the right expectation value on the semiclassical states.
- ► At a quantized level there is not an action of the diffeomorphism group. However acts in the classical limit. Suggests that the construction should be understood as a continuum limit.

To summarize

- Constructed triple over an algebra of holonomy loops
- ► The triple contains a quantization of the Poisson bracket of gravity
- The lattice involved in the construction is seen as a coordinate system.
- In a certain semi-classical limit the Dirac type operator becomes the Dirac Hamiltonian, and thus the semi-classical states can be interpreted as one particle fermion states. The semi classical states stem from the noncommutativity of the involved algebra.

Open problems

- Realizing the Hamilton constraint of gravity. This should be an expression closely related to D². We can construct a quantized Hamiltonian constraint (and volume and area operator) having the right expectation value on the semiclassical states.
- ► At a quantized level there is not an action of the diffeomorphism group. However acts in the classical limit. Suggests that the construction should be understood as a continuum limit.

Compute quantum fluctuation of the semi-classical limit.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ = ● ● ●

Compute quantum fluctuation of the semi-classical limit.

Construct many particle states.

- Compute quantum fluctuation of the semi-classical limit.
- Construct many particle states.
- Need more structure than just a spectral triple to make contact with the standard model. Real structure,...In work in progress it look like getting the matrix factor of the γ -matrices right automatically gives rise to part of the structure the standard model (the real structure.) The expectation value of a Loop operator looks like a matrix valued function on Σ .

Thank you for your attention

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ = ● ● ●