

# The difficulties of $\square_\Lambda$ in long extender models

Douglas Blue

Harvard University, Department of Philosophy

27 July 2017

## Expectation

*Let  $W$  be an iterable plus-one premouse. Then  $\square_\Lambda$  holds at all and only those cardinals  $\Lambda$  which are neither subcompact nor the successor of a 1-subcompact cardinal.*

The argument should follow the global structure of Schimmerling-Zeman.

## Theorem (Voellmer)

*Let  $W$  be an iterable plus-one premouse. Assume that the extenders of  $W$  have finitely many long generators. Then  $\square_{\Lambda,2}$  holds at all and only those cardinals  $\Lambda$  which are neither subcompact nor the successor of a 1-subcompact cardinal.*

Any uncredited lemmas or theorems to follow are either due to Neeman & Steel, Schimmerling & Zeman, or Voellmer (correct me if I am wrong).

- 1 Plus-one premice
- 2 Long Protomice
- 3 Unstable Levels and  $\square_{\Lambda,2}$

## Definition (Neeman-Steel)

A *plus-one potential premouse* is a  $J$ -structure  $N$  constructed from a sequence  $\vec{E}$  of extenders such that whenever  $(M, G)$  is an active level of  $N$ , either

- 1  $G$  is a short extender over  $M$  and  $(M, G)$  satisfies the Jensen conditions, or
- 2  $G$  is a long extender with space  $(\kappa_G^+)^M$  and
  - (a)  $M = \text{Ult}(M, G) | (\lambda_G^+)^{\text{Ult}(M, G)}$
  - (b)  $G \upharpoonright \lambda_G \in M$
  - (c)  $G$  has a largest generator  $\nu_G$

## Definition

A plus-one potential premouse  $(M, G)$  is *type*  $Z_1$  iff

- ①  $G$  is long
- ②  $(M, G)$  satisfies the *weak initial segment condition*:  
 $\forall \xi < \nu_G (G \upharpoonright \xi \in M)$
- ③ there is a short extender  $F$  indexed at  $\nu_G$  such that
  - (a)  $\lambda_G = \lambda_F$
  - (b)  $\kappa_G < \kappa_F$
  - (c)  $(\kappa_F^+)^M$  is *not* the space of an extender on the  $M$ -sequence
  - (d) for cofinally many  $\gamma < (\kappa_F^+)^M$ , we have that  $i_F(E_\gamma^M) \subseteq G$
  - (e)  $(\nu_G^+)^{\text{Ult}(M, F)} = (\nu_G^+)^{\text{Ult}(M, G \upharpoonright \nu_G)} := \eta$  and  
 $\text{Ult}(M, F)|_\eta = \text{Ult}(M, G \upharpoonright \nu_G)|_\eta$ .

## Definition

An extender  $\bar{G}$  is *pseudo-indexed at  $\alpha$*  in  $L[E]$  if there is a type  $Z_1$  level  $(M, G)$  with stretching extender  $F$  such that  $\bar{G}$  is the long extender defined by

$$\text{if } \gamma < (\kappa_F^+)^M, \text{ then } E_\gamma^M \subseteq \bar{G} \text{ iff } i_F^M(E_\gamma^M) \subseteq G$$

and  $\alpha = (\kappa_F)^{++}$ .

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular uncountable cardinal. Then  $\kappa$  is *1-subcompact* iff for any  $A \subseteq H_{\kappa^{++}}$ , there exists a cardinal  $\mu$ , a  $\bar{A} \subseteq H_{\mu^{++}}$ , and an elementary embedding  $j : \langle H_{\mu^{++}}, \bar{A} \rangle \rightarrow \langle H_{\kappa^{++}}, A \rangle$  with  $\text{CRIT}(j) = \mu$ .

## Lemma

If  $\kappa$  is 1-subcompact, then  $\neg \square_{\kappa^+}$ .

## Lemma (Voellmer)

Suppose  $\kappa$  is a cardinal in  $L[E]$  such that

$$\{\alpha : \kappa^+ < \alpha < \kappa^{++} \wedge \exists \beta > \alpha (L[E] \upharpoonright \beta \text{ is type } Z_1 \wedge \alpha = (\kappa_F^{++})^{L[E] \upharpoonright \beta}\}$$

is stationary in  $\kappa^{++}$ . Then  $\kappa$  is 1-subcompact.

These are equivalences.



## Definition

Suppose  $(M, G)$  is a plus-one potential premouse,  $\eta < \lambda_G$ , and

- $H = G \upharpoonright \eta$ , if  $G$  is short
- $H = G \upharpoonright (\eta \cup \{\nu_G\})$ , if  $G$  is long.

Then  $H$  is *whole* iff  $i_H(\kappa_H) = \eta$ .  $\eta$  is called a *cutpoint* of  $G$ .

$(M, G)$  has the *Jensen Initial Segment Condition (JISC)* iff  $H \in M$  whenever  $H$  is a whole initial segment of  $G$ .

## Definition

A plus-one ppm  $(M, G)$  with  $G$  a long extender is *Dodd-solid* iff  $G \upharpoonright \nu_G \in M$ .

## Lemma

If  $M$  is a type  $Z_1$  premouse, then  $M$  is not Dodd-solid.

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a plus-one potential premouse. Then  $M$  has *projectum-free spaces (PFS)* iff whenever  $G$  is a long extender on the  $M$ -sequence which is total on  $M$ ,

- 1 if there is a  $k$  such that  $\varrho_k(M) \leq (\kappa_G^+)^M$ , then  $\varrho_k(M) \leq \kappa_G$  for the least such  $k$
- 2 if  $M$  is active with short extender  $H$  such that  $\kappa_H = \kappa_G$ , then  $\varrho_1(M) > (\kappa_G^+)^M$ .

## Definition

A plus-one potential premouse  $M$  is a *plus-one premouse* iff

- 1 every proper initial segment of  $M$  is fully sound and satisfies PFS
- 2  $M$  satisfies PFS
- 3 every initial segment of  $M$  satisfies the JISC
- 4 if  $(N, G)$  is an initial segment of  $M$  and  $G$  is long, then  $(N, G)$  is either Dodd-solid or type  $Z_1$ .

## Theorem (Neeman-Steel)

*Let  $M$  and  $N$  be iterable plus-one premice. Then there are iterates  $P$  and  $Q$  of  $M$  and  $N$  resp. such that  $P \trianglelefteq Q$  or  $Q \trianglelefteq P$ .*

Neeman and Steel also proved a version of solidity which suffices for preservation of the standard parameter for  $k$ -sound  $(\omega_1 + 1)$ -iterable plus-one premice.

## Theorem (Condensation Lemma)

Suppose  $H$  and  $M$  are both of the same type and  $n + 1$  sound. Suppose there is a  $\Sigma_0^{(n)}$ -elementary and cardinal preserving map  $\sigma : H \rightarrow M$  with  $\text{CRIT}(\sigma) = \alpha$ . Suppose  $\alpha \geq \varrho_{n+1}^H$  and that Anomalous Case 4 does not hold. Then one of the following hold:

- 1  $H = M$
- 2  $H \triangleleft M$
- 3  $H \trianglelefteq \text{Ult}_n(M, E_\alpha^M)$ .
- 4  $H \trianglelefteq \text{Ult}_n(M, F)$ , where  $F$  is pseudo-indexed in the  $M$ -sequence at  $\alpha$ .

## Definition (Anomalous Case 4)

$\alpha$  is not a cardinal of  $M$ , and setting  $\langle \eta, k \rangle$  to be the lex-least such that  $\varrho_{k+1}(M|\eta) < \alpha$ ,  $F = \dot{F}^{M|\eta}$  is short,  $\alpha = (\kappa_F^{++})^{M|\eta}$ ,  $k = 0$ , and there are total long extenders on the  $M$ -sequence with  $\text{CRIT} = \kappa_F$ .

Work in  $W$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \Lambda^+$  consist of all  $\tau$  such that

- 1  $\Lambda$  is the largest cardinal in  $J_\tau^E$
- 2  $J_\tau^E$  is fully elementary in  $J_{\Lambda^+}^E$
- 3  $E_\tau = \emptyset$
- 4  $\tau$  is not a *pseudoindex*

We aim to produce a sequence  $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \mathfrak{C}_\tau : \tau \in \mathcal{S} \rangle$ , where each  $\mathfrak{C}_\tau$  contains one or two sets  $C_\tau$ , such that:

- 1  $C_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{S} \cap \tau$  is closed
- 2  $C_\tau$  is unbounded in  $\tau$  whenever  $\tau$  is a limit point of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\text{cof}(\tau) > \omega$
- 3  $C_\tau \cap \bar{\tau} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\bar{\tau}}$  for  $\bar{\tau} \in C_\tau$
- 4  $\text{otp}(C_\tau) \leq \Lambda$

## Definition

Suppose  $M = (M, G)$  is a sound coherent structure. Then a  $J$ -structure  $\tilde{M} = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{G})$  is an *interpolant* of  $M$  if

- 1 there is a map  $\sigma : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  which is  $\Sigma_0^{k(M)}$ -preserving with respect to the language of coherent structures
- 2  $\sigma(p(\tilde{M})) = p(M)$
- 3 for every  $\alpha \in p(M)$ , there is a generalized solidity witness  $Q_M(\alpha)$  in  $\text{ran}(\sigma)$
- 4  $\varrho(\tilde{M}) = \varrho(M)$ .

In the situations of interest,  $\text{CRIT}(\sigma) = \bar{\tau}$  for some  $\bar{\tau} \in \mathcal{S}$ .

## Definition (Pluripotent)

Let  $N_\tau$  be a level of  $W$ . Then  $N_\tau$  is *short pluripotent* iff  $N_\tau$  is active with a short top extender  $G$ ,  $\kappa_G < \Lambda$ , and  $\varrho_1(N_\tau) = \Lambda$ .

Let  $N_\tau$  be a level of  $W$ . Then  $N_\tau$  is *long pluripotent* iff  $N_\tau$  is active with a long top extender  $G$ ,  $\kappa_G^+ < \Lambda$ , and  $\varrho_1(N_\tau) = \Lambda$ .

Such levels give rise to protomice via interpolation.

## Lemma

*Suppose  $H$  is an interpolant of  $N_\tau$  with interpolation embedding  $\sigma$ . Let  $\text{CRIT}(\sigma) = \bar{\tau}$  and suppose  $\bar{\tau}$  is not an index or pseudoindex in  $W$ . Suppose that  $N_\tau$  is not pluripotent.*

*Then  $H$  is a level of  $W$ .*



## Definition

A *short protomouse* is a  $J$ -structure  $M = (M, \tilde{G})$  (considered in the language of coherent structures) such that

- 1  $|M|$  is a passive premouse with  $k(|M|) = 0$
- 2  $\tilde{G}$  is a short extender such that there is an ordinal  $\theta < \kappa_{\tilde{G}}^+$  such that  $\tilde{G}$  measures exactly the  $x \in P(\kappa_{\tilde{G}}) \cap M|_{\theta}$ , and  $\theta = (\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^+)^{M|_{\theta}}$
- 3  $|M| = \text{Ult}_n(M|_{\theta}, \tilde{G})$
- 4 Let  $\langle N^*, n \rangle$  be the collapsing level for  $\theta$  in  $M$ . Then  $\varrho_1(M)$  is not the space of a long extender on the sequence of  $\text{Ult}_n(N^*, \tilde{G})$ .

## Definition

Let  $N_\tau$  be the collapsing level for  $\tau$  in  $W$ ,  $\varrho_{n+1}(N_\tau) = \Lambda < \varrho_n(N_\tau)$ , and  $\tau = (\Lambda^+)^N$ . Then  $(\kappa, q)$  is a (strong) short divisor of  $N_\tau$  if

- ①  $\kappa$  is a cardinal  $< \Lambda$
- ② there is an ordinal  $\lambda(\kappa, q)$  such that  $\Lambda < \lambda(\kappa, q) < \varrho_n(N_\tau)$
- ③ setting  $r = p(N_\tau) - q$ ,
  - (a)  $q = p(N_\tau) \cap \lambda(\kappa, q)$
  - (b)  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{N_\tau}(\kappa \cup r) \cap \varrho_n(N_\tau)$  is cofinal in  $\varrho_n^{N_\tau}$
  - (c)  $\lambda(\kappa, q)$  is the least ordinal in  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{N_\tau}(\kappa \cup r) - \kappa$
  - (d)  $P(\kappa) \cap \mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{N_\tau}(\kappa \cup r) = P(\kappa) \cap \mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{N_\tau}(\kappa \cup p(N_\tau))$

$\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{N_\tau}(\kappa \cup r)$  is the *divisor hull* associated with  $(\kappa, q)$ .

## Definition

A *long protomouse*  $M = (M, \tilde{G})$  is a  $J$ -structure (considered in the language of coherent structures) such that

- 1  $|M|$  is a passive premouse with degree of soundness  $k(|M|) = 0$
- 2  $\tilde{G}$  is a long extender over  $|M|$  such that there is an ordinal  $\theta$  such that  $\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^+ < \theta < \kappa_{\tilde{G}}^{++}$  and  $\tilde{G}$  measures exactly the subsets of  $\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^+$  in  $M|\theta$ , and  $\theta = (\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^{++})^{M|\theta}$ .  $\theta$  is denoted  $\text{dom}(\tilde{G})$ .
- 3  $|M| = (\text{Ult}_n(M|\theta, \tilde{G}))|_o(M)$
- 4  $\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^+ < \rho_1(M)$
- 5  $\rho_1(M)$  is not the space of a long extender on the  $\text{Ult}_n(N^*, \tilde{G})$ -sequence, where  $\langle N^*, n \rangle$  is the collapsing level for  $\theta$  in  $M$
- 6  $\tilde{G}$  has largest generator  $\nu = \nu^M$
- 7  $\tilde{G} \upharpoonright \lambda_{\tilde{G}}$  is on the  $M$ -sequence.

## Definition

Let  $M = (M, \tilde{G})$  be a long protomouse and  $\theta^M = \text{dom}(\tilde{G})$ . Let  $(N^*)^M$  be the collapsing level for  $\theta$  in  $M$ , i.e.,  $(N^*)^M = \langle N^*, n \rangle$  where  $n$  is such that  $\varrho_{n+1}(N^*) = \kappa_{\tilde{G}}^+ < \varrho_n(N^*)$ .

## Definition

A long protomouse  $(M, G)$  is *type 2* if  $(N^*)^M = \langle N^*, n \rangle$  is active with short top extender  $F$ ,  $n = 0$ , and  $\kappa_F = \kappa_G$ .

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a type 1 long protomouse with top extender  $\tilde{G}$  and  $(N^*)^M = \langle N^*, n \rangle$ . The *associated ppm* of  $M$  is  $\text{Ult}_n(N^*, \tilde{G})$ .

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a type 2 long protomouse. Then the *associated quasi-protomouse* of  $M$  is  $(P, F) = \text{Ult}_0(N^*, \tilde{G})$ .

Let  $\mu$  be the least long generator of  $\tilde{G}$ . Then  $\text{Ult}_0((P|_\mu), F)$  is the *associated ppm* of  $M$ .

## Definition

Let  $N_\tau$  be the collapsing level for  $\tau$  in  $W$  and  $\varrho_{n+1}(N_\tau) = \Lambda < \varrho_n(N)$  and  $\tau = (\Lambda^+)^N$ . Then an ordinal  $\nu \in p(N_\tau)$  is a *long divisor* of  $N_\tau$  if

- 1 There is an extender  $E_\nu$  on the  $N$ -sequence such that  $\kappa_{E_\nu} < \Lambda < \lambda_{E_\nu}$  and  $\lambda_{E_\nu}^+ = (\lambda_{E_\nu}^+)^{N_\tau} < \varrho_n(N_\tau)$
- 2  $\text{Hull}_{n+1}^{N_\tau}(Z \cup r) \cap \varrho_n(N_\tau)$  is cofinal in  $\varrho_n(N_\tau)$
- 3  $\text{Hull}_{n+1}^{N_\tau}(Z \cup r) \cap \lambda_{E_\nu}^+ = Z$
- 4  $\lambda_{E_\nu}^+$  is not the space of an extender on the  $N$  sequence

where

- $r = p(N_\tau) - (\nu + 1)$ ,
- $E_\mu = E_\nu \upharpoonright \lambda$  is the short part of  $E_\nu$ , and
- $Z = i_{E_\nu} \text{``}(\kappa^+) = i_{E_\mu} \text{``}(\kappa^+)$ .

Suppose we are  $N$ . We want to know how we can be recovered as the associated ppm of a long protomouse  $(M, \tilde{G})$ .

If  $N$  does arise this way, then letting  $N^*$  be the collapsing structure for  $\text{dom}(\tilde{G})$ ,  $N = \text{Ult}(N^*, \tilde{G})$ . We would like to recover  $N^*$  and  $\tilde{G}$  as a hull in  $N$ .

$\varrho_1(N^*) = \kappa_{\tilde{G}}^+$  (because  $M$  is long), so to specify the map from  $N^*$  to  $N$ , we need to know how  $\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^+$  is moved. From the perspective of  $N$ , this map and  $N^*$  can be recovered as the hull of a set of ordinals and a piece of the parameter, namely  $\text{Hull}_{n+1}^N(i_{\tilde{G}}^+((\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^+) \cup i_{\tilde{G}}(p(N^*))))$ . But it's hard to guess a set of ordinals.

Instead, the notion of long divisor above assumes that the largest generator of  $\tilde{G}$  is a successor generator. *This is a smallness assumption.*

If we know that the largest generator of  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $\nu_{\tilde{G}}$ , is a successor generator, then from  $\nu_{\tilde{G}}$  alone we can recover  $i_{\tilde{G}}^+(\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^+)$ : the extender indexed in  $N$  at  $\nu_{\tilde{G}}$  is  $\tilde{G} \upharpoonright \nu_{\tilde{G}}$ .

This is enough to determine  $i_{\tilde{G}}^+(\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^+)$ .

When  $\nu_{\tilde{G}}$  is a limit generator, no such extender is indexed at  $\nu_{\tilde{G}}$ .

By remarks of Martin yesterday, the standard parameter of  $N$  and the Dodd parameter of  $M$  are correlated. In particular,  $\nu_{\tilde{G}}$  is the greatest element of the Dodd parameter of  $M$ , which implies that it is in the standard parameter of  $N$ . Thus we “guess”  $\nu_{\tilde{G}}$  by choosing  $\nu$  from the standard parameter of  $N$ .



## Type 2 Long Divisors

There is a more complicated notion of type 2 long divisor. We need to be able to recover the short top extender  $F$  of  $\text{Ult}_0(N^*, \tilde{G})$  as a predicate, and that complicates things. We can do so, and  $N^*$  is recoverable as a  $\Sigma_1$ -hull in the language with this predicate, so we can get back to  $(M, \tilde{G}) = (N \parallel i_{\tilde{G}} \text{“}\kappa^+\text{”}, \tilde{G})$ .

Fortunately, the long divisors and the type 2 long divisors form disjoint subsets of the standard parameter, so there will not be conflict between them.

## Lemma

*Let  $N$  be a level of  $W$ , and let  $\nu$  be a long divisor of  $N$  with  $N^*$  the transitive collapse of the divisor hull associated with  $\nu$ . Let  $\pi$  be the inverse of the collapse map.*

*Then  $N^* \triangleleft N$  and  $p(N^*) = \pi^{-1}(r)$ .*

Long divisors are “strong” by Voellmer’s definition.

# Premouse to protomouse

## Definition

Let  $N$  be a level of  $W$  and  $\nu$  a long divisor of  $N$  with  $\pi : N^* \rightarrow N$  the uncollapse map associated with the divisor hull. Let  $\eta = (\lambda_{E_\nu}^+)^N$ . Then  $N(\nu) = (J_\eta^E, G)$  is the *long protomouse associated with  $\nu$* , where  $G$  is the long extender of length  $\lambda_{E_\nu}^+$  derived from  $\pi$ .

## Lemma

*Let  $N$  be a level of  $W$  and  $\nu$  a long divisor of  $N$  with associated protomouse  $N(\nu)$ . Then  $N(\nu)$  is a long protomouse of type 1.*

## Lemma

*Let  $N$  be a level of  $W$  and  $N(\nu)$  the long protomouse associated with a divisor  $\nu$  of  $N$ . Then  $N$  is the associated ppm of  $N(\nu)$ .*

## Lemma (Long Protomouse Condensation)

*Let  $M_\tau$  be either a long pluripotent level of  $W$  or the protomouse associated with a (type 2) long divisor  $\nu$  of collapsing level  $N_\tau$ . Let  $M$  be an interpolant of  $M_\tau$  such that the critical point of the interpolation embedding is  $\bar{\tau} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $N$  be the associated ppm of  $M$ .*

*Then  $N$  is a level of  $W$ .*

## Definition

Let  $N$  be a level of  $W$  with a canonical short divisor  $(\kappa, q)$  and at least one long divisor. Let  $\nu$  be the least long divisor. Then  $N$  is *unstable* iff  $\nu = \max(q)$  and, if  $\nu$  is a long divisor,  $\kappa_{E_\nu} < \kappa$ .

I.e., unstable levels have two canonical associated protomice.

## Lemma (Instability)

Let  $(\kappa, q)$  be a short divisor of  $N$ , and let  $r = p(N) - q$ . Then any long divisor  $\nu \in r$  is such that  $\kappa_{E_\nu} < \kappa$ .

If  $\nu \in q$  is a long divisor, then either  $\nu = \max(q)$  or  $\kappa_{E_\nu} \geq \kappa$ .

## Definition (Canonical divisor)

Let  $N$  be a stable level of  $W$  with at least one divisor. Let  $(\kappa, q)$  be the canonical short divisor, if there is one. Let  $\nu \in p(N)$  be the least long divisor, if there is one. Then

- (a) If  $\nu$  is undefined or  $\max(q) < \nu$ , then  $(\kappa, q)$  is the canonical divisor of  $N$ .
- (b) If  $(\kappa, q)$  is undefined or  $\nu \leq \max(q)$ , then  $\nu$  is the canonical divisor of  $N$ .

The canonical protomouse associated with  $N$  is the protomouse associated with the canonical divisor of  $N$ .

Think of canonical divisors as giving the largest divisor hulls.

## Definition

Let  $N_\tau$  be the collapsing level for  $\tau$  in  $W$ , and suppose  $N_\tau$  is stable. Then

- 1 If there is a canonical protomouse associated with  $N_\tau$ , set this protomouse to be  $M_\tau$ .
- 2 If  $N_\tau$  does not have an associated canonical protomouse but  $N_\tau$  is pluripotent, set  $M_\tau = N_\tau$ .
- 3 If  $N_\tau$  does not have an associated canonical protomouse and  $N_\tau$  is not pluripotent,  $M_\tau$  is undefined.

Suppose  $N_\tau$  is unstable. Then

- 4  $M_\tau^{\text{short}} = N(\kappa, q)$ .
- 5  $M_\tau^{\text{long}} = N(\nu)$ .

This leads to sets  $B_\tau^{\text{short}}$  and  $B_\tau^{\text{long}}$  for unstable levels, and hence to a  $\square_{\Lambda,2}$  sequence.

# The Difficulties

Find a way to get from  $\square_{\Lambda,2}$  to full  $\square_{\Lambda}$ .

Accommodate long generators which are limit generators.