

Ralf Schindler

Bukowsky and Varsonian models, revisited

Dec 2017

In the past, we abstractly cited a theorem of Bukowsky's to show that any reasonable $M = \text{LIE}$ is a generic extension of its first Varsonian model, \mathcal{L}_0 . We now isolate a natural forcing \mathbb{B} for which M is generic over \mathcal{L}_0 .

\mathbb{B} is not the extendible algebra, but it is defined in terms of the extendible algebra.

Let us fix $M = M_{sw}$ or M_{swsw} or any other reasonable model. Let \mathcal{L}_0 be the first Varsonian model of M , i.e. $\mathcal{L}_0 = \text{L}[u_\infty^0, p \mapsto p^*]$, where u_∞^0 is the direct limit of a collection of grounds \mathcal{P} , and $p^* = \min \{ \pi_{\mathcal{P}u_\infty^0}(p) : \mathcal{P} \in \text{system} \} = \pi_{u_\infty^0}(u_\infty^0)^{u_\infty^0}(p)$. See "Varsonian models I" (with G. Sargsyan) or "Varsonian models II" (with G. Sargsyan and F. Schlotzberger) for details.

We aim to make $M|k_0$ generic over \mathcal{L}_0 .

It can be shown that $M = \mathcal{L}_0[M|k_0]$.

We think of $M|k_0$ as a subset of k_0 .

Let us first work in \mathcal{L}_0 and define an infinitary language \mathcal{L}^+ as follows.

Atomic formulae: " $\forall \xi \in a$ " for all $\xi < \kappa_0$, a

being a fixed name for $M|k_0$. We close under

negation and conjunction and disjunctions of length

$$< \delta_0^{\kappa_0} = \kappa_0^+.$$

If g is generic over u_∞^0 for the extended algebra at $\delta_0^{u_\infty^0}$, then it may be that g is a premouse

of height $\delta_0^{u_\infty^0}$, and we write $u_\infty^0[g] | \kappa_0^{u_\infty^0}$

for the premouse $W \triangleright g$ (if it ex.) which arises

from g by fattening the u_∞^0 -extenders above $\delta_0^{u_\infty^0}$,

W of height $\kappa_0^{u_\infty^0}$. If $P \in u_\infty^0$ -system, we shall

also use the notation $P[g] | \kappa_0$. Notice that

by the construction of P , there is always a

g (namely, $M | \delta_0^P$) st. $M|k_0 = P[g] | \kappa_0$.

Let us define $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}^+$ by $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ iff there is some $p \in \mathbb{B}_{\delta_0^{\mathcal{L}_0}}^{\mathcal{L}_0}$ (= the extendible algebra of \mathcal{L}_0 at $\delta_0^{\mathcal{L}_0}$) s.t.

$$p \Vdash_{\mathbb{B}_{\delta_0^{\mathcal{L}_0}}^{\mathcal{L}_0}} m_{\infty}^0[\mathcal{L}] \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{L}_0} \Vdash \gamma^*$$

Here $(\)^*$ is the image of $(\)$ under $p \mapsto p^*$, extended to all objects in m_{∞}^0 .

We let, for $\gamma, \psi \in \mathcal{L}$, $\gamma \leq \psi$ iff for all $p \in \mathbb{B}_{\delta_0^{\mathcal{L}_0}}^{\mathcal{L}_0}$, $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{B}_{\delta_0^{\mathcal{L}_0}}^{\mathcal{L}_0}} m_{\infty}^0[\mathcal{L}] \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{L}_0} \Vdash \gamma^* \rightarrow \psi^*$.

$(\mathcal{L}; \leq)$ is a partial order which is an element of \mathcal{L}_0 .

Claim 1. $\mathcal{L}_0 \Vdash (\mathcal{L}; \leq)$ has the $\delta_0^{\mathcal{L}_0}$ -c.c.

Proof: Let $(\gamma_i : i < \theta)$ be an antichain. For

each i , pick $p_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\delta_0^{\mathcal{L}_0}}^{\mathcal{L}_0}$ s.t.

$p_i \Vdash_{\mathbb{B}_{\delta_0^{\mathcal{L}_0}}^{\mathcal{L}_0}} m_{\infty}^0[\mathcal{L}] \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{L}_0} \Vdash \gamma_i^*$. We must have

$p_i \perp p_j$ for $i \neq j$, as otherwise $\gamma_i \wedge \gamma_j \in \mathcal{L}$

and $\gamma_i \wedge \gamma_j \leq \gamma_i, \gamma_j$. But then $\theta < \delta_0^{\kappa_0}$,
 as $B_{\delta_0^{\kappa_0}}$ has the $\delta_0^{\kappa_0}$ -c.c. \rightarrow

Claim 2. $G_{M \upharpoonright \kappa_0} = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{L} : M \upharpoonright \kappa_0 \models \gamma \}$

is (\mathcal{L}, \leq) -generic over \mathcal{V}_0 .

Proof: Let $(\gamma_i : i < \theta)$ be ^{a max.} antichain. By

Claim 1, $\theta < \delta_0^{\kappa_0}$. If $G_{M \upharpoonright \kappa_0} \cap \{ \gamma_i : i < \theta \} = \emptyset$,
 then $M \upharpoonright \kappa_0 \models \bigwedge_{i < \theta} \neg \gamma_i$ (and $\bigwedge_{i < \theta} \neg \gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}^+$).

But $\bigwedge_{i < \theta} \neg \gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}$: We have that for $\mathcal{P} \in$
 $\mathcal{M}_{\delta_0^{\kappa_0}}^0$ -system and $g = M \upharpoonright \delta_0^{\mathcal{P}}$, $\mathcal{P}[g] \upharpoonright \kappa_0 \models \bigwedge_{i < \theta} \neg \gamma_i$,

so that $\mathcal{P} \Vdash_{B_{\delta_0^{\mathcal{P}}}}^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{P}[g] \upharpoonright \kappa_0 \models \bigwedge_{i < \theta} \neg \gamma_i$, ^{for some} ~~the~~ \mathcal{P} . Here,

$B_{\delta_0^{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is the extended algebra of \mathcal{P} at $\delta_0^{\mathcal{P}}$. Notice

that $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}$ for all \mathcal{P} so that $\bigwedge_{i < \theta} \neg \gamma_i \in \mathcal{P}$.

If \mathcal{P} is sufficiently far out in the system,

then $\pi_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{M}_{\delta_0^{\kappa_0}}}(\bigwedge_{i < \theta} \neg \gamma_i) = (\bigwedge_{i < \theta} \neg \gamma_i)^*$, and then

We get that

$$p \frac{B_{\infty}^{m_0}}{m_0} m_0 [j] |_{\infty}^{m_0} \neq \left(\bigwedge_{i < \theta} \neg \gamma_i \right)^*$$

some p . Hence indeed $\bigwedge_{i < \theta} \neg \gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}$.

But $\bigwedge_{i < \theta} \neg \gamma_i \perp \gamma_i$ for each i , so that

$(\gamma_i : i < \theta)$ was not maximal. \dashv

We now have that $M|_{\kappa_0} = \{ \xi < \kappa_0 :$

" $\forall \xi \in \dot{a}$ " $\in G_{M|_{\kappa_0}}$ $\}$, so that

$$\angle_0 [G_{M|_{\kappa_0}}] = \angle_0 [M|_{\kappa_0}],$$

and $G_{M|_{\kappa_0}}$ is $(\mathcal{L}; \leq)$ -generic over \angle_0 .