

M. Ben-Shur, R. Schindler

Realizing a model of "there is a cofinal ω_1 -sequence of E_0 -degrees but no well-ordering of the reals" as a symmetric model

We wish to thank L. Halbeisen for his interest in seeing the model described in [BeS1] and [BeS2] being represented as a symmetric model.

Let us call φ a good permutation iff there is some $n \in \omega$ such that $\varphi: {}^n\omega \rightarrow {}^n\omega$ is a permutation of ${}^n\omega$ and if $k \leq n$, $s, t \in {}^n\omega$, and $s \upharpoonright k = t \upharpoonright k$, then $\varphi(s) \upharpoonright k = \varphi(t) \upharpoonright k$. Every

good permutation φ induces an automorphism, call it π^φ , of \mathbb{C} : If $\varphi: {}^n\omega \rightarrow {}^n\omega$, then

$$\pi^\varphi(p) = \begin{cases} \varphi(s) \upharpoonright \text{lh}(p) & \text{for some/all } s \text{ with} \\ & s \supset p \text{ if } \text{lh}(p) \leq n \\ \varphi(p \upharpoonright n) \hat{\ } p \upharpoonright [n, \text{lh}(p)) & \text{o. w.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\vec{\varphi} = (\varphi_i : i < \omega_1)$ be such that every φ_i is a good permutation and $\varphi_i = \text{id}$ for all but finitely many $i < \omega_1$. We then write $\pi^{\vec{\varphi}}$ for the automorphism of $\mathbb{C}(\omega_1)$

which is defined by

$$\pi^{\vec{\gamma}}(p)(i) = \pi^{\gamma_i}(p(i)).$$

Notice that $\pi^{\vec{\gamma}}(p)(i) = p(i)$ for all but finitely many $i < \omega_1$.

Let us write G for the group of all automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}(\omega_1)$ of the form $\pi^{\vec{\gamma}}$, where $\vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_i : i < \omega_1)$ is a sequence of good permutations and $\gamma_i = \text{id}$ for all but finitely many $i < \omega_1$.

For each countable $I \subset \omega_1$, let H^I be the collection of all $\pi^{\vec{\gamma}} \in G$ such that $\gamma_i = \text{id}$ (and hence $\pi^{\gamma_i} = \text{id}$ and $\pi^{\vec{\gamma}}(p)(i) = p(i)$) for all $i \in I$. We write \mathcal{F} for the collection of all $H \triangleleft G$ such that $H^I \triangleleft H$

for some countable $I \subset \omega_1$. Notice that

$$H^I \cap H^{I'} = H^{I \cup I'}. \text{ It is easy to verify}$$

then that \mathcal{F} is a filter, cf. [Sch, p. 126,

Problem 6.22]. *)

Now let g be $\mathbb{C}(\omega_1)$ -generic over L , and let $N \subset L[g]$ be the collection of

*) [Sch] R. Schindler, Set theory, Springer-Verlag 2014.

all τ^g , where τ is symmetric, i.e.,

$$\text{sym}_G(\tau) = \{\pi \in G : \pi(\tau) = \tau\} \in \mathbb{F}.$$

It is easy to see that every real in $L[g]$ has a symmetric name: Let $x \in {}^\omega\omega \cap L[g]$, say $x = \sigma^g$. Let $\tau = \{((n, m)^\vee, p) : p \in A_n \wedge p \Vdash \sigma(\check{n}) = \check{m}\}$, where A_n is a maximal antichain of conditions deciding $\sigma(\check{n})$, $n < \omega$. Let $I \subset \omega_1$ be the collection of all $i < \omega_1$ such that for some $n < \omega$ and some $p \in A_n$, $p(i) \neq \emptyset$. As $\mathbb{C}(\omega_1)$ has the c.c.c., I is at most countable, and if $\pi \in H^I$, then $\pi(\tau) = \tau$. But $\tau^g = \sigma^g = x$. We have shown that ${}^\omega\omega \cap L[g] \subset N$.

We claim that if $(c_i : i < \omega_1)$ is the sequence of Cohen reals given by g , then $(c_i : i < \omega_1) \notin N$, and also that N does not have a well-ordering of its reals. Both of these facts follow immediately from:

(*) If $A \subset \text{OR}$, $A \in N$, then $A \in L[x]$ for some $x \in {}^\omega\omega \cap N = {}^\omega\omega \cap L[g]$.

To verify (*), let $A = \tau^g$, where τ is symmetric, say $H^I \subset \text{sym}_G(\tau)$, $I < \omega_1$ being countable. Then $\xi \in A$ iff $\exists p \in g$ $p \Vdash \check{\xi} \in \tau$. However, $p \Vdash \check{\xi} \in \tau$ is equivalent to $\pi(p) \Vdash \check{\xi} \in \tau$ for every $\pi \in H^I$, so that in fact $p \Vdash \check{\xi} \in \tau$ is equivalent with $\bar{p} \Vdash \check{\xi} \in \tau$, where $\bar{p}(i) = p(i)$ for $i \in I$ and $\bar{p}(i) = \emptyset$ otherwise. But this means that A can be computed inside $L[g \upharpoonright I]$. As $g \upharpoonright I$ may be coded by a real in $L[g \upharpoonright I]$, (*) is shown.

Writing again $(c_i : i < \omega_1)$ for the sequence of Cohen reals given by g , let us set $\bar{d}_i = [c_i]_{E_0} = \{c \in {}^\omega\omega : \exists n_0 \forall n \geq n_0, c(n) = c_i(n)\}$ for $i < \omega_1$. Let us verify that $(\bar{d}_i : i < \omega_1)$ has a symmetric name. Well, $\{(p(i)^\vee, p) : p \in \mathcal{D}(\omega_1)\}$ is the canonical name for the strict initial segments of ~~the~~ c_i , and for each good permutation φ , $\{(\pi^\varphi(p(i))^\vee, p) : p \in \mathcal{D}(\omega_1)\}$ is the canonical name for the strict initial segments of a typical real which is E_0 -equivalent

to c_i . Then

$$\tau_i = \{ (\{(\pi \circ \gamma(p(i))), p\} : p \in \mathcal{C}(w_1)\}, \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}(w_1)}) : \gamma \text{ a good permutation} \}$$

is the canonical name for \bar{d}_i . It is very easy to verify that $\pi(\tau_i) = \tau_i$ for every $\pi \in G$,

i.e., $\text{sym}_G(\tau_i) = G$: if $\pi \circ \gamma \in G$, then

$\{ \pi \circ \gamma \circ \pi^{-1} : \gamma \text{ a good permutation} \} = \{ \pi \circ \gamma : \gamma \text{ a good permutation} \}$. Hence every τ_i is symmetric,

and $\{ ((i, \tau_i), \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}(w_1)}) : i < w_1 \}$ is a symmetric name for $\vec{d} = (\bar{d}_i : i < w_1)$. [We have abused

the notation by writing (i, τ_i) for the canonical name for the pair of i and the interpretation of τ_i .]

Now let the reals $z_i, i < w_1$, be defined as in [BeS1]. Let us repeat this definition for the convenience of the reader.

For $i < w_1$, let $\beta(i)$ be the least $\beta > \max(w, i)$ such that $L_\beta \models "i \text{ is countable}"$ and

let $e_i : w \leftrightarrow L_i$ be the $L_{\beta(i)}$ -least bijection, $e_i \in L_{\beta(i)}$. Let $E_i \subset w^2$ be s.t. $(w; E_i) \cong_{e_i} (L_i; E)$,

and let g_i be the set of all $n < \omega$ s.t.

there are $j < i$ and $k, m < \omega$ with $e_i(n) = (j, k, m)$

and $p(j)(k) = m$ for some $p \in g$. I.e., E_i is

a canonical code for L_i , and g_i codes

$g \upharpoonright i$ relative to E_i . $z_i \in {}^\omega \omega$ is defined by

$$z_i(2l) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } (l)_0 \in E_i(l)_1 \\ 0 & \text{iff } (l)_0 \notin E_i(l)_1 \end{cases}$$

$$z_i(2l+1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } l \in g_i \\ 0 & \text{iff } l \notin g_i \end{cases}$$

where $((l)_0, (l)_1) = e(l)$ for some canonical $e: \omega \leftrightarrow \omega \times \omega$.

(Cf. [BeS1, pp. 1 f.].) As on p.4 of [BeS1],

let us write $d_i = [z_i]_{E_0}$ for the E_0 -equivalence

class of z_i , $i < \omega_1$.

(**) For all $x \in {}^\omega \omega \cap N = {}^\omega \omega \cap L[g]$ there is

some $i < \omega_1$ such that $x \in L[z]$ for

all $z \in d_i$, in fact $x \leq_T z$ for all

$z \in d_i$. [Here, \leq_T denotes Turing reducibility.

We may assume w.l.o.g. that e.g.

$e_i(2n) = n$ for all $n < \omega$ and all $i < \omega_1$.]

The proof of (**) is trivial.

By (*), $(z_i : i < \omega_1) \notin N$. A simple variant of the proof that $\vec{d} = (\bar{d}_i : i < \omega_1) \in N$ shows that $\vec{d} = (d_i : i < \omega_1) \in N$, though: Notice that for $i < \omega_1$, $z_i \upharpoonright \text{Even} \in L$; a canonical name for $z_i \upharpoonright \text{Odd}$ is then computable in a trivial way from the (check name of) $z_i \upharpoonright \text{Even}$ and the canonical name for $j \upharpoonright i$; this a canonical name δ_i for $d_i = [z_i]_{E_0}$ may then be obtained in a fashion as the name for \bar{d}_i was obtained on pp. 4f. above. We will have that $\text{Sym}_G(\delta_i) = G$. The point is that every $\pi \vec{\varphi} \in G$ is non-trivial only at finitely many $j < \omega_1$, i.e., if $\vec{\varphi} = (\varphi_j : j < \omega_1)$, then $\varphi_j = \text{id}$ for all but finitely many $j < \omega_1$, so that $\pi \vec{\varphi}$ will move $z_i \upharpoonright \text{Odd}$ to an E_0 -equivalent variant thereof.

The proofs of Claim 5, 6 of [BeS1] and of the lemmas in [BeS2] go thru as before.

[BeS1] M. Benaschvili, R. Schindler, Bernstein sets don't give Vitali sets.

[BeS2] M. Benaschvili, R. Schindler, Luzin and Sierpiński sets in N .