

A Green–Julg isomorphism for inverse semigroups

B. Burgstaller *

Abstract

For a finite, unital inverse semigroup S we establish an isomorphism between $KK^S(\mathbb{C}, A)$ and the K -theory of $A \rtimes S$.

1 Introduction

In [2, Theorem 3.5] we gave an isomorphism between S - and \mathcal{G} -equivariant KK -theory for an inverse semigroup S and its associated groupoid \mathcal{G} [5]. Thus, if \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff, the Baum–Connes map for groupoids [8] induces a Baum–Connes map $\widehat{\mu}^S : \lim_{Y \subseteq \underline{EG}} \widehat{KK}^S(C_0(Y), A) \longrightarrow K(A \widehat{\rtimes} S)$ for the inverse semigroup S and Sieben’s crossed product $A \widehat{\rtimes} S$ [7] by simply exchanging $KK^{\mathcal{G}}$ with \widehat{KK}^S . Replacing here A by $B \rtimes E$ for the (commuting) projection set E in S , this yields a Baum–Connes map $\widehat{\mu}^{S,E} : \lim_{Y \subseteq \underline{EG}} \widehat{KK}^S(C_0(Y), B \rtimes E) \longrightarrow K(B \rtimes S)$ for Khoshkam’s and Skandalis’ crossed product $B \rtimes S \cong (B \rtimes E) \widehat{\rtimes} S$ [4]. In this note we prove that for finite S , and $\underline{EG} = X$, we can here place in front a ‘compatible expansion’ isomorphism $\delta^S : KK^S(\mathbb{C}, B) \longrightarrow \widehat{KK}^S(C_0(X), B \rtimes E)$, see Theorem 2.3, relying on an equivalence of categories between $C_0(X)$ -incompatible Hilbert bimodules (as used in KK^S) and $C_0(X)$ -compatible ones (as used in \widehat{KK}^S and $KK^{\mathcal{G}}$), see Proposition 2.2. The composition $\delta^S \circ \widehat{\mu}^{S,E}$ then is a Green–Julg type isomorphism from $KK^S(\mathbb{C}, B)$ to $K(B \rtimes S)$, see Corollary 2.4, which looks compacter than $\widehat{\mu}^{S,E}$ and is reminiscent of the classical Green–Julg isomorphism [3] for compact groups S . Interestingly, this isomorphism for inverse semigroups is not a straight generalization of the classical Green–Julg isomorphism for groups, that means, it is not defined as the composition of the descent homomorphism and an averaging map.

2 The compatible expansion isomorphism

In this note, S denotes a unital, inverse semigroup which has finite idempotent set E . We let X denote the spectrum of the finite dimensional abelian

*Classification: 19K35, 46L80, 20M18 – Email: bernhardburgstaller@yahoo.de – Address: Mathematisches Institut, Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Germany

universal C^* -algebra $C^*(E) = \mathbb{C} \rtimes E$ generated by E , cf. [4, Section 2.6]. In general, there is a locally compact r -discrete (not necessarily Hausdorff) groupoid \mathcal{G} associated to S in such a way that S can be injectively embedded as a family of slices of \mathcal{G} , see [5, 6, 4], and one has $X \cong \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ homeomorphically. In our case \mathcal{G} is even discrete since X is discrete. Throughout we suppose that all S -Hilbert C^* -algebras and S -Hilbert (bi)modules are full in the sense that the unit 1 of S acts identically on them. By universality of $C^*(E)$, a S -Hilbert module or a S -Hilbert C^* -algebra automatically carries the $C_0(X)$ -structure induced by the action of $E \subseteq S$, cf. [2, Lemma 4.2]. The module multiplication in a S -Hilbert B -module (\mathcal{E}, U, S) may be $C_0(X)$ -incompatible (or simply ‘incompatible’) in the sense one only requires $U_e(\xi)b = U_e(\xi)e(b)$ (see [2, Definitions 2.1-2.4]), or $C_0(X)$ -compatible in the sense that also $U_e(\xi)b = \xi e(b)$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}, b \in B, e \in E$, in which case we even speak of a $C_0(X)$ - S -Hilbert B -module (see [2, Definitions 2.5-2.8]). Similarly we define compatibility for a Hilbert bimodule by requiring also compatibility for the left module multiplication. Hilbert C^* -algebras have always $C_0(X)$ -compatible multiplication. Our reference for KK^S - and $\widehat{KK^S}$ -theory, respectively, involving incompatible and compatible, respectively, S -Hilbert bimodules, is [2]. We use, however, the unital (or full) version of KK -theory as explained in [1, Section 4] for KK^S (i.e. 1 acts as the identity map on Hilbert bimodules of cycles). We shall use the full crossed product as defined in [4] or [1]. Typical simple elements in $A \rtimes S$ will be denoted by $a \rtimes s$ with $s \in S, a \in ss^*(A) \subseteq A$. Morphisms between S -Hilbert (A, B) -bimodules are supposed to strictly respect all involving structures, i.e. the bimodule structure, the inner product and the S -action.

Let \mathcal{E} be a S -Hilbert (A, B) -bimodule. Throughout, B acts on $B \rtimes E$ by left multiplication, i.e. $b(b' \rtimes e) = bb' \rtimes e$. In [2, Lemma 4.8] we have defined an expansion functor $\epsilon_{\mathbb{H}}$ from the category of S -Hilbert (A, B) -bimodules to the category of S -Hilbert $(A \rtimes E, B \rtimes E)$ -bimodules. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\mathbb{H}}(\pi, \mathcal{E}, U, S) &= (\tilde{\pi}, \mathcal{E} \otimes_B (B \rtimes E), \tilde{U}, S), & \epsilon_{\mathbb{H}}(T) &= T \rtimes 1, \\ \tilde{\pi}(a \rtimes e) &= (\pi(a) \rtimes 1)\tilde{U}_e, & \tilde{U}_s &= U_s \otimes \beta_s, & \beta_s(b \rtimes e) &= s(b) \rtimes ses^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $T : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ denotes a morphism, $T \rtimes 1$ denotes $T \otimes \text{id}_{B \rtimes E}$, β denotes the S -action on $B \rtimes E$ (the usual notation is however s instead of β_s), and $a \in A, b \in B, e \in E, s \in S$. The out-coming bimodule $\mathcal{E}' = \epsilon_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E} \otimes_B (B \rtimes E)$ has compatible multiplication between $A \rtimes E$ and \mathcal{E}' , but the multiplication between \mathcal{E}' and $B \rtimes E$ is compatible only if \mathcal{E} and B have compatible multiplication. To obtain a functor from (incompatible) S -Hilbert modules to $C_0(X)$ - S -Hilbert modules we need to modify the expansion functor $\epsilon_{\mathbb{H}}$ in order to obtain a functor $\delta_{\mathbb{H}}$. We do this by replacing the last tensor product by the compatible one, so we aim to set $\delta_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E} \otimes_B^{C_0(X)} (B \rtimes E)$.

To define such a tensor product, consider two S -Hilbert modules (\mathcal{E}, U, S)

and (\mathcal{F}, V, S) . We may express the spectrum X by means of common refinements of projections $e \in E$ in $C_0(X)$. That is, every $x \in X$ can be expressed as a *formal* expression

$$P = u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n} (1 - u_{f_1}) \dots (1 - u_{f_m}) \quad (1)$$

where $E = \{e_1 \dots e_n, f_1, \dots, f_m\}$, P is nonzero, and $\delta_x = P(1_{C_0(X)})$. Here, the formal projection P is naturally evaluated by replacing each formal action u_e with the corresponding E -action e on $C_0(X)$. We shall use such an evaluation of P also for other S -Hilbert modules. It is not difficult to see that any $P \in X$ can be expressed in standard form $P = u_e \prod_{f \in E, f < e} (1 - u_f)$ with $e \in E$, and vice versa, every expression in standard form is an element of X . For all $s \in S$ there is an order preserving isomorphism $\gamma_s : (s^*s)E \rightarrow (ss^*)E$ by $\gamma_s((s^*s)e) = s(s^*s)e s^*$ for $e \in E$.

We may linearly identify the vector space quotient of $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$ divided by the linear span of the elements $U_e(\xi) \otimes \eta - \xi \otimes V_e(\eta)$ ($e \in E, \xi \in \mathcal{E}, \eta \in \mathcal{F}$) with the image of the ‘diagonal projection’

$$\mathbb{D} = \sum_{P \in X} P \otimes P$$

acting on $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$. In this way we can define the S -Hilbert module structure of the $C_0(X)$ -balanced tensor product by considering it as a submodule:

Definition 2.1 Define $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B^{C_0(X)} \mathcal{F}$ as the sub- S -Hilbert module $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F})$.

Note that for a (formal) S -action u one has $u_s P = P_s u_s$ for $s \in S$, where

$$P_s = u_{s e_1 s^*} \dots u_{s e_n s^*} (1 - u_{s f_1 s^*}) \dots (1 - u_{s f_m s^*}).$$

Using the standard form of P , one checks with the isomorphism γ_s that $P \mapsto P_s$ restricts to an isomorphism $\{P \in X \mid P \leq u_s^* s\} \rightarrow \{Q \in X \mid Q \leq u_{ss^*}\}$. In this way we see that $(u_s \otimes u_s)\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}(u_s \otimes u_s)$. In particular, the diagonal action $U \otimes V$ is invariant under the submodule $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F})$, and so Definition 2.1 makes sense. If the module multiplication between \mathcal{E} and B is $C_0(X)$ -compatible then $P(\xi)b = \xi P(b) = P(\xi b) = P(\xi)P(b)$ ($\xi \in \mathcal{E}, b \in B$) by induction. If also the module multiplication between B and \mathcal{F} is $C_0(X)$ -compatible then $\mathbb{D} = 1$, i.e. $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B^{C_0(X)} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 2.2 Let A and B be (full) S -Hilbert C^* -algebras. There is an equivalence of additive categories, δ_H , between S -Hilbert (A, B) -bimodules and $C_0(X)$ - S -Hilbert (A, B) -bimodules. δ_H is given by restricting the evaluated expansion functor ϵ_H to the $C_0(X)$ -balanced tensor product, that means precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_H(\pi, \mathcal{E}, U, S) &= \epsilon_H(\pi, \mathcal{E}, U, S)|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes_B^{C_0(X)} (B \rtimes E)} \\ &= (\tilde{\pi} \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_B (B \rtimes E)), \tilde{U} \mathbb{D}, S) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and $\delta_{\mathbb{H}}(T) = \epsilon_{\mathbb{H}}(T)\mathbb{D} = (T \rtimes 1)\mathbb{D}$ for a morphism $T : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$.

The inverse functor is given by $\delta_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}, U, S) = (\mathcal{E}, U, S)$, where \mathcal{E} is defined to be \mathcal{F} as a graded vector space, the S -actions on \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are the same, and the Hilbert (A, B) -bimodule structure on \mathcal{E} is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \cdot b &= \xi(b \rtimes 1) \\ \langle P(\xi), P(\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P(1_B \rtimes 1) &= \langle P(\xi), P(\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \\ a \cdot \xi &= (a \rtimes 1)\xi \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for all $a \in A, b \in B, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}$ and $P \in X$. Thereby, $\langle P(\xi), P(\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$ has to be uniquely chosen in the image of the projection $\rho_P = e_1 \dots e_n$ acting on B , for P having a representation as in (1). For a morphism $T : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ one defines $\delta_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}(T) = T$.

Proof We begin with $\delta_{\mathbb{H}}$. We have already seen that $\tilde{U}\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}\tilde{U}$. Also, as $\pi(a) \otimes 1$ ($a \in A$) commutes with \tilde{U}_e ($e \in E$), $\tilde{\pi}\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}\tilde{\pi}$, and so $\tilde{\pi}$ is invariant under \mathbb{D} . The $(B \rtimes E)$ -module multiplication is now compatible in $\delta_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{E})$, as in $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B (B \rtimes E)$ one has

$$\sum_{P \in X} P(\xi) \otimes P(x)e(y) = \sum_{P(e) \neq 0} P(\xi) \otimes eP(xy) = \sum_{P \in X} U_e P(\xi) \otimes eP(xy)$$

for $\xi \in \mathcal{E}, x, y \in B \rtimes E, e \in E$.

We are going to discuss the inverse functor $\delta_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{E}$. Suppose for simplicity that B has a unit 1_B ; otherwise we replace 1_B by an approximate unit and take the limit on the left hand side in (3). Choose for P a representation as in (1). If $b \rtimes e \in B \rtimes E$ then a small computation shows that

$$(b \rtimes e)P(1_B \rtimes 1) = \rho_P(b)P(1_B \rtimes 1) \quad (4)$$

Thus, for any $x \in B \rtimes E$ there is a $b \in \rho_P(B)$ such that $xP(1_B \rtimes 1) = bP(1_B \rtimes 1)$. This b is unique, since it is the leading coefficient of the expansion

$$bP(1_B \rtimes 1) = b \rtimes e_1 \dots e_n - f_1(b) \rtimes e_1 \dots e_n f_1$$

(for simplicity we assumed $m = 1$). This shows that $\langle P(\xi), P(\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$ in (3) is the unique $b \in \rho_P(B)$ such that

$$bP(1_B \rtimes 1) = \langle P(\xi), P(\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} P(1_B \rtimes 1) = \langle P(\xi), P(\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Of course, the inner product on \mathcal{E} is now determined by $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle \sum_{P \in X} P(\xi), \sum_{Q \in X} Q(\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} := \sum_{P \in X} \langle P(\xi), P(\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$. One checks that $\langle P\xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle \xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Let $s \in S$. We have $Pu_s = u_s P_{s^*}$ and $s(P(1_B \rtimes 1)) = P_s s(1_B \rtimes 1) = P_s(1_B \rtimes 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle PU_s \xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P(1_B \rtimes 1) &= \langle U_s P_{s^*} \xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = s(\langle P_{s^*}, P_{s^*} U_s^* \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}) \\ &= s(\langle P_{s^*}, P_{s^*} U_s^* \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P_{s^*}(1_B \rtimes 1)) = s(\langle P_{s^*}, P_{s^*} U_s^* \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}) P_{s^*}(1_B \rtimes 1) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\langle PU_s\xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = s\langle P_{s^*}\xi, P_{s^*}U_s^*\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$, because this is obviously true if $P_{s^*} = 0$, and otherwise this follows from the last computation and the facts that $P_{ss^*} = P$, and $\rho_{P_{s^*}}(b) = b$ implies $\rho_P(s(b)) = s(b)$ for all $b \in B$. Then

$$\langle U_s\xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{P \in X} \langle PU_s\xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{P \in X} s\langle P_{s^*}\xi, P_{s^*}U_s^*\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = s\langle \xi, U_s^*\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$$

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ and denote its adjoint in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ by T^\times and in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ by T^* . Since the module multiplication $\mathcal{F} \times B \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is $C_0(X)$ -compatible, $TP = PT$ for all $P \in X$. Then the computation

$$\langle T\xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P(1_B \rtimes 1) = \langle T\xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \langle P\xi, T^\times\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \langle P\xi, T^\times\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P(1_B \rtimes 1)$$

shows $\langle T\xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle P\xi, T^\times\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$, and summing up over all $P \in X$ this yields $T^* = T^\times$. This shows that A acts via adjoint-able operators on \mathcal{E} . We leave the complete verification that \mathcal{E} is a S -Hilbert (A, B) -bimodule to the reader.

We are going to show the categorial equivalence. The natural isomorphisms u and v , given by isomorphisms $u_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \delta_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}\delta_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{E})$ and $v_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \delta_{\mathbb{H}}\delta_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ for all S -Hilbert (A, B) -bimodules \mathcal{E} , and all $C_0(X)$ -Hilbert $(A \rtimes E, B \rtimes E)$ -bimodules \mathcal{F} , are defined by the linear maps

$$u_{\mathcal{E}}(\xi) = \mathbb{D}(\xi \otimes (1_B \rtimes 1)), \quad v_{\mathcal{F}}(\eta) = \mathbb{D}(\eta \otimes (1_B \rtimes 1)) \quad (5)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}, \eta \in \mathcal{F}$, the images being here in the vector space $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B^{C_0(X)} (B \rtimes E)$. It is essentially straight forward to check the categorial equivalence; let us demonstrate some identities for convenience of the reader:

$$\begin{aligned} (v_{\mathcal{F}}(\eta))(b \rtimes e) &= \mathbb{D}(\eta \otimes (1_B \rtimes 1))(b \rtimes e) = \sum_{P(e) \neq 0} P(\eta) \otimes P(b \rtimes 1) \\ &= \sum_{P(e) \neq 0} P(\eta(b \rtimes 1)) \otimes P(1_B \rtimes 1) = \mathbb{D}(\eta(b \rtimes e) \otimes (1_B \rtimes 1)) \\ &= v_{\mathcal{F}}(\eta(b \rtimes e)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\eta \in \mathcal{F}, b \rtimes e \in B \rtimes E$. The S -equivariance of $u_{\mathcal{E}}$ follows from

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\mathcal{E}}(U_s(\xi)) &= \mathbb{D}(U_s(\xi) \otimes (1_B \rtimes 1)) = \mathbb{D}(U_{ss^*}U_s(\xi)s(1_B) \otimes (1_B \rtimes 1)) \\ &= \mathbb{D}(U_s(\xi) \otimes ss^*(s(1_B)(1_B \rtimes 1))) = \mathbb{D}(U_s(\xi) \otimes s(1_B \rtimes 1)) \\ &= s(u_{\mathcal{E}}(\xi)) \end{aligned}$$

QED

Theorem 2.3 *Let E be finite. Let A and B be S -Hilbert C^* -algebras. There is a ‘compatible expansion’-isomorphism*

$$\delta^S : KK^S(A, B) \longrightarrow \widehat{KK^S}(A \rtimes E, B \rtimes E)$$

(or δ for brevity) induced by the compatible expansion functor, that is, $\delta[\mathcal{E}, T] = [\delta_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{E}), \mathbb{D}(T \times 1)\mathbb{D}]$ and $\delta^{-1}[\mathcal{E}, T] = [\delta_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}(\mathcal{E}), T]$. Both δ and δ^{-1} respect functoriality in A and B , i.e. $(f \times 1)^*\delta = \delta f^*$ and $(g \times 1)_*\delta = \delta g_*$ for equivariant homomorphisms $f : A' \rightarrow A$ and $g : B \rightarrow B'$.

Proof For a cycle $(\mathcal{E}, T) \in \mathbb{E}^S(A, B)$, δ is given by cutting down the cycle $\epsilon(\mathcal{E}, T) = (\epsilon_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{E}), T \times 1) \in \mathbb{E}^G(A \times E, B \times E)$ produced by the expansion homomorphism ϵ , see [2, Theorem 4.14], by the projection \mathbb{D} as described in (2). Since \mathbb{D} commutes with $\tilde{\pi}$ and \tilde{U} , and $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}')\mathbb{D} = \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}'))$ for $\mathcal{E}' = \epsilon_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{E})$, $\delta(\mathcal{E}, T)$ is a cycle again. It remains to show that δ respects homotopy. Let $(\mathcal{E}, T) \in \mathbb{E}^S(A, B[0, 1])$. Identify $(B \times E)[0, 1]$ with $B[0, 1] \times E$. The evaluation map $B[0, 1] \times E \rightarrow B \times E$ at time t is $C_0(X)$ -compatible, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{B[0,1]} (B[0,1] \times E)) \otimes_{B[0,1] \times E} (B \times E) &= \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{B[0,1]} (B \times E)) \\ &= \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}_t \otimes_B (B \times E)) \end{aligned}$$

Let us now discuss $\delta_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}$. Let $(\mathcal{F}, T) \in \mathbb{E}^S(A \times E, B \times E)$ and $\delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}, T) = (\mathcal{E}, T)$. The norms in \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{E} are equivalent, as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}\| &= \left\| \sum_{P \in X} \langle P\xi, P\xi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P(1_B \times 1) \right\| \leq \sup_{P \in X} \|\langle P\xi, P\xi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}\| \\ &\leq \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}\| = \left\| \sum_{P \in X} \langle P\xi, P\xi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \right\| \leq \sum_{P \in X} \|\langle P\xi, P\xi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}\| \leq n \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}\| \end{aligned}$$

for $n = |X|$. An elementary compact operator $\theta_{\xi, \eta} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F})$, regarded as an operator on \mathcal{E} , is in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ by the computation

$$\xi \langle \eta, x \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_P P(\xi) \langle P\eta, x \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_P P(\xi) (\langle P\eta, x \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \times 1) = \sum_P P(\xi) \cdot \langle P\eta, x \rangle_{\mathcal{E}},$$

where \cdot denotes the module multiplication in \mathcal{E} . We have proved that the identity map $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ maps $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F})$ into $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$. It is then easy to check that (\mathcal{E}, T) is a cycle.

It remains to show that δ^{-1} respects homotopy. Let $(\mathcal{F}, T) \in \mathbb{E}^S(A \times E, (B \times E)[0, 1])$ and $\delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}, T) = (\mathcal{E}, T) \in \mathbb{E}^S(A, B[0, 1])$. Let $\varphi_t : (B \times E)[0, 1] \rightarrow B \times E$ and $\psi_t : B[0, 1] \rightarrow B$ be the evaluation maps at time t , and set $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\varphi_t} (B \times E)$ and $\mathcal{E}_t = \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\psi_t} B$. Let $\delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t, T_t) = (\mathcal{G}_t, T_t)$. We want to show that $\omega : \mathcal{E}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_t$ ($\cong \mathcal{F}_t$ linearly), $\omega(\xi \otimes b) = \xi \otimes (b \times 1)$, is an isomorphism of S -Hilbert bimodules. The inner product of \mathcal{G}_t is computed from the one of \mathcal{F}_t by

$$\langle P(\xi \otimes (b \times 1)), P(\eta \otimes (c \times 1)) \rangle_{\mathcal{G}_t} P(1_B \times 1) = P(b \times 1)^* \varphi_t(\langle P\xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}) P(c \times 1)$$

for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}, b, c \in B$. We get

$$\psi_t(\langle P\xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}) P(1_B \times 1) = \varphi_t(\langle P\xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{F}})$$

from the connection between the inner products of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} . Multiplying from the left and right with $P(b^* \times 1)$ and $P(c \times 1)$, respectively, gives

$$(b^* \psi_t(\langle P\xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{E}})c)P(1_B \times 1) = P(b^* \times 1)\varphi_t(\langle P\xi, P\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{F}})P(c \times 1)$$

A compare of the last identities shows that ω respects the inner product.

It is now evident with Proposition 2.2 that δ and δ^{-1} are inverses of each others. Finally, using $(f \times 1)^* \epsilon = \epsilon f^*$ ([2, Theorem 4.13.(3)]), one gets $(f \times 1)^* \delta = (f \times 1)^* \mathbb{D} \epsilon = \mathbb{D}(f \times 1)^* \epsilon = \delta f^*$.

QED

For finite S , and hence finite \mathcal{G} , we may choose the universal space \underline{EG} to be X . Then Theorem 2.3, the Baum–Connes isomorphism $\widehat{\mu}^S \equiv \mu^{\mathcal{G}}$, and the isomorphism $\gamma : A \rtimes S \longrightarrow (A \rtimes E) \widehat{\rtimes} S$ [4, Theorems 6.2 and 6.5] yield

Corollary 2.4 *Let S be a finite, unital inverse semigroup. There exists a Green–Julg type isomorphism μ^S determined by the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KK^S(\mathbb{C}, A) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\delta^S}} & \widehat{KK^S}(C_0(X), A \rtimes E) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mu^S}} K((A \rtimes E) \widehat{\rtimes} S) \\ & \searrow \mu^S & \downarrow \gamma_* \\ & & K(A \rtimes S) \end{array}$$

References

- [1] B. Burgstaller. A KK -theoretical descent homomorphism for semimultiplicative sets. preprint. A revised version will be sent to Münster J. Math.
- [2] B. Burgstaller. Equivariant KK -theory of r -discrete groupoids and inverse semigroups. preprint.
- [3] P. Julg. K -théorie équivariante et produits croisés. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I*, 292:629–632, 1981.
- [4] M. Khoshkam and G. Skandalis. Crossed products of C^* -algebras by groupoids and inverse semigroups. *J. Oper. Theory*, 51(2):255–279, 2004.
- [5] A.L.T. Paterson. *Groupoids, inverse semigroups, and their operator algebras*. Progress in Mathematics (Boston, Mass.). 170. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser., 1999.
- [6] J. Quigg and N. Sieben. C^* -actions of r -discrete groupoids and inverse semigroups. *J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A*, 66(2):143–167, 1999.

- [7] N. Sieben. C^* -crossed products by partial actions and actions of inverse semigroups. *J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A*, 63(1):32–46, 1997.
- [8] Jean-Louis Tu. The Baum-Connes conjecture for groupoids. Cuntz, Joachim (ed.) et al., C^* -algebras. Proceedings of the SFB-workshop, Münster, Germany, March 8-12, 1999. Berlin: Springer. 227-242, 2000.