



The elementary theory of all pseudofinite fields

Modelltheorie pseudoendlicher Strukturen

21st April 2021 - Simone Ramello

Outline

1. Pseudofinite fields ← bottom-up perspective
2. Bounds on ideals in polynomial rings
3. The theory Psf
4. Examples of pseudofinite fields
5. A lemma for next time

Slogan: pseudofinite fields are the asymptotic version of finite fields (this will be more precise in talk #4).

I. Pseudofinite fields

A pseudofinite field is a field k such that

1. k is perfect ($\text{char } k = 0$ or $\text{char } k = p > 0 \wedge \forall x \exists y (x = y^p)$)
2. $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{alg}}/k) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ ($\Leftrightarrow k$ perfect $\Leftrightarrow k$ has exactly one separable extension of degree n $\forall n$)
3. every absolutely irreducible variety defined over k has a k -rational point.

$k \subseteq \Omega$, $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq \Omega^n$, $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

ABS. IRR. $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq \Omega[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is prime

If x_1, \dots, x_n are variables and $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then

$$x^I = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}, \quad |I| = i_1 + \dots + i_n.$$

If $f(x) \in k[x]$, $f = \sum_I a_I x^I$, then $\deg(f) = \sup_I |I|$.

Call $k[x]_{\leq d} = \{f \in k[x] \mid \deg f \leq d\}$, $d > 0$ integer.

* $\forall d$, $k[x]_{\leq d}$ definable in k using $N(d) := \dim_k k[x]_{\leq d}$ variables; let $[f] \in k^{N(d)}$ associated to $f \in k[x]_{\leq d}$,

* multiplication $k[x]_{\leq d} \times k[x]_{\leq d} \rightarrow k[x]_{\leq 2d}$ is also definable in k .

II. Bounds on ideals in polynomial rings

THEOREM (blackbox)

for any $n, d > 0$ integers, there exist constants

$$A = A(n, d) \quad D = D(n, d)$$

such that for all fields k and polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_m, g \in k[x]_{\leq d}$,

* if $g \in (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, there are $h_1, \dots, h_m \in k[x]_{\leq A}$ such that

$$g = h_1 f_1 + \dots + h_m f_m,$$

* if $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq k[x]$ is not prime, then there are $h_1, h_2 \in k[x]_{\leq D}$ such that $h_1 h_2 \in (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ but $h_1, h_2 \notin (f_1, \dots, f_m)$.

III. The theory Psf

GOAL: the class of pseudofinite fields is an elementary class.

1. k is perfect $\rightsquigarrow \varphi_p = (\underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{p\text{-times}} = 0 \rightarrow \forall x \exists y (x = y^p))$

3. every absolutely irreducible variety defined over k has a k -rational point.

$\rightsquigarrow \Psi_m$ such that $k \models \Psi_m([f_1], \dots, [f_m])$ if and only if $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq \Omega[x]$ is prime

Step 1: $f_1, \dots, f_m \in K[X]_{\leq d}$, then

$I = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq K[X]$ is prime iff for all $g, h \in K[X]_{\leq D}$

either $gh \notin I$ or one of $g, h \in I$

iff for all $g, h \in K[X]_{\leq D}$,

either for all $h_1, \dots, h_m \in K[X]_{\leq A}$,

$gh \neq h_1 f_1 + \dots + h_m f_m$, or there

exist $h_1, \dots, h_m \in K[X]_{\leq A}$ such that

$g = h_1 f_1 + \dots + h_m f_m$ or $h = h_1 f_1 + \dots + h_m f_m$.

first-order
property of

$[f_1] \dots [f_m]$

$\varphi_{m,d}(y)$, $|y| = m \cdot N(d)$

Step 2: by quantifier elimination of the theory of algebraically closed fields, there is a **quantifier free** formula $\Psi_m(y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \models \varphi_m([f_1], \dots, [f_m]) & \text{ iff } \Omega \models \Psi_m([f_1], \dots, [f_m]) \\ & \text{ iff } k \models \Psi_m([f_1], \dots, [f_m]). \end{aligned}$$

So $k \models \Psi_{m,d}([f_1], \dots, [f_m])$ iff $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \Omega[x]$ is a prime ideal
iff $V(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is abs. irreducible.

WRAP-UP:

$m \cdot N(d)$ quantifiers

$$\Theta_{n,d} = \forall m \forall [f_1] \dots \forall [f_m]$$

$$(\Psi_{m,d}([f_1], \dots, [f_m]) \rightarrow \exists z_1, \dots, z_n \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^m f_i(z_1, \dots, z_n) = 0 \right)).$$

$m \leq N(d)$, hence

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^{N(d)} \forall [f_1] \dots [f_j] (\Psi_{j,d}(\dots))$$

$$2. \text{Gal}(k^{\text{alg}}/k) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{Z}} := \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \quad \{ \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \}_{m|n}$$

$$= \{ (a_n)_{n \geq 2} \in \prod_{n \geq 2} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \mid \text{if } m|n, a_m \equiv a_n \pmod{m} \}$$

* (k perfect)

$\text{Gal}(k^{\text{alg}}/k) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ iff k has exactly one extension of degree n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(A) k has at least one extension of degree n

(B) k has at most one extension of degree n

(A) "at least"

$P_n(y)$, $|y| = n$, saying " $x^n + y_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + y_n$ is irreducible"

$$\forall u_1, \dots, u_n \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \left[(x^{n-i} + u_1 x^{n-i-1} + \dots + u_{n-i})(x^i + u_{n-i+1} x^{i-1} + \dots + u_n) \neq x^n + y_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + y_n \right]$$

$\rightsquigarrow \xi_n = \exists y_1 \dots y_n P_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)$

(B) AT MOST

We want to say that if $p_n(y)$ and $p_n(z)$ hold, then if $\alpha^n + y_1 \alpha^{n-1} + \dots + y_n = 0$,

$k(\alpha)$ contains all roots of $x^n + z_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + z_n$.

We do that by defining $(k(\alpha), +, \cdot, 0, 1, k)$ in k .

Let: $M = k^n$, $P_k = \{(\lambda, 0, \dots, 0) \mid \lambda \in k\}$,

$0^* = (0, \dots, 0)$, $1^* = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$

$+^*$ is just pointwise sum

Fix a basis of $k(\alpha)$, $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$.

Then for this basis, multiplication by α is a linear transformation with associated matrix

$$M_\alpha := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -y_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -y_{n-1} \\ 0 & 1 & & & -y_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -y_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\alpha^n = -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \alpha^{n-i}$$

Similarly, multiplication by α^j has matrix M_α^j .

$$(u_1, \dots, u_n) x^* (v_1, \dots, v_n) = (u_1 I_n + u_2 M_\alpha + \dots + u_n M_\alpha^{n-1}) \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

* this definition is uniform.

Since $(k(\alpha), +, \cdot, 1, 0, P_k)$ is definable in k ,
 there is a formula $\theta(y)$ such that, if
 $x^n + y_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + y_{n-1} x + y_n$ is irreducible and α is
 a root, then

$$k \models \theta(y) \text{ iff } k(\alpha) \models \forall z_1, \dots, z_n (P_n(z_1, \dots, z_n) \wedge P_k(z_1) \wedge \dots \wedge P_k(z_n) \\
 \rightarrow \exists u_1, \dots, u_n (x^n + z_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + z_n = (x - u_1) \dots (x - u_n)))$$

$\rightsquigarrow \eta_n$ Such that
 $k \models \eta_n$ iff k has at most one extension of
 deg. n .

Axiomatization

$$\forall p, \varphi_p \rightsquigarrow \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{p\text{-times}} = 0 \rightarrow \forall x \exists y (x=y^p)$$

$\forall n, \exists \kappa \wedge \eta_n \rightsquigarrow \kappa$ has at most \aleph at least one extension of degree n .

$\forall n, d, \Theta_{n,d} \rightsquigarrow$ for every choice of polynomials of degree at most d in n variables, if the ideal they generate in $\Omega[x]$ is prime, they have a common root.

Psf

IV. Examples of pseudofinite fields

1. ultraproducts of finite fields

LANG-WEIL BOUND

for integers $n, d > 0$ there is a constant $C(n, d) > 0$ such that for all finite fields \mathbb{F}_q and varieties \underline{X} defined by polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree $\leq d$,

$$|\# \underline{X}(\mathbb{F}_q) - q^{\dim \underline{X}}| \leq C \cdot q^{\dim \underline{X} - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

absolutely
irreducible

2. infinite algebraic extensions of finite fields with the "right" Galois group

In particular, if $q > C^2$, then

$$\#\bar{X}(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq q^{\dim \bar{X}} - Cq^{\dim \bar{X} - 1/2} > 0.$$

TAKE-AWAY: ^{and} For q big enough, $\mathbb{F}_q \neq \emptyset_{n,d}$.

Consequence: if Q is the set of prime powers and U is an ^{non-principal} ultrafilter on Q , then $\prod_{q \in Q} \mathbb{F}_q / U$ is pseudo-finite.

Consequence: if Q is the set of prime powers and U is an ultrafilter on Q , then $\prod_{q \in Q} \mathbb{F}_q / U$ is pseudo-finite.

1. every \mathbb{F}_q is perfect \leadsto this holds in the ultraproduct
2. $\xi_n \wedge \eta_n$ hold in every $\mathbb{F}_q \leadsto$ they hold in the ultraproduct
3. $\forall n, d$ there is $q \gg 0$ such that $\mathbb{F}_q \models \Theta_{n,d} \leadsto$ the $\Theta_{n,d}$ hold in the ultraproduct

Consequence #2: say k is quasi-finite if H is perfect and its Galois group is $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Denote by k_n its unique extension of degree n .

A **Steinitz number** is a formal product

$$s = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} p^{s(p)}, \quad s(p) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

* $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \{\text{Steinitz numbers}\} =: S.$

There is a bijection

$$\{\text{algebraic extensions of } k\} \longrightarrow S$$

$$k' \longmapsto s,$$

$$s(p) = \sup \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid k_{p^m} \subseteq k'\}.$$

If $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$, the inverse is

$$s \longmapsto k_s = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n/s} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q^n},$$

where $s \mid s'$ iff $\forall p$ primes, $v(p) \leq s'(p)$.

Fact: k_S is quasi-finite iff $S(p) \neq \infty \ \forall p$.

Consequence #2: if $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}$ is such that $S(p) \neq \infty \ \forall p$ and $S(p) \neq \emptyset$ for infinitely many p , then k_S is pseudofinite.

If \bar{X} is defined over k_S , then there is an $m \gg 0$ such that \bar{X} is defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} and, by Lang-Weil, $\bar{X}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $\bar{X}(k_S) \neq \emptyset$.

V. A lemma for next time

Suppose k is perfect PAC,

1. if a is a tuple from $k' \supseteq k$ and $k(a)$ is regular over k , then there is a k -morphism

$$k[a] \rightarrow k,$$

2. if k is \aleph_1 -saturated and $A \subseteq k'$ is countable for some $k' \supseteq k$ such that $k(A)/k$ is regular, then there is a k -morphism $k[A] \rightarrow k$.

1. Consider $I(a/k) = \{f \in k[x] \mid f(a) = 0\}$ and,
since $k(a) \cong \frac{k[x]}{I(a/k)}$ is regular, then

$\underline{X} = V(I(a/k))$ is a variety

hence there is $b \in \underline{X}(k)$.

The map $a \mapsto b$ extends to $k[a] \rightarrow k$.

2. slogan: 1 + saturation.

More precisely, enumerate A as $\langle a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ and consider $X = \langle x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$. For all finite $a \subseteq A$, let

$$I(a/k) = (f_1^a, \dots, f_{r_a}^a)$$

and let $P(X) = \bigcup_{\substack{a \subseteq A \\ \text{finite}}} \{f_1^a, \dots, f_{r_a}^a\}$. By 1, this is finitely consistent and so, by \aleph_1 -saturation, there is a realization $B \subseteq k$.

The map $A \mapsto B$ is the required k -morphism between $k[A] \rightarrow k$.



Thank you!

REFERENCES:

(Learnweb)

Ax68 : "The elementary theory of finite fields"

Cha05: "Notes on the model theory of finite and pseudofinite fields"

⊕

Brawley, Schnibben : "Infinite algebraic extensions of finite fields"